

Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind

Outline Document

8.10 Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS)

Date: February 2025

Document Reference: 8.10

Pursuant to APFP Regulation: 5(2)(a)

Rev: 5.0

Company:		Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind		Asset:		Whole Asset	
Project:		Whole Wind Farm		Sub Project/Package:		Whole Asset	
Document Title or Description:		8.10 Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS)					
Internal Document Number:		PP1-ODOW-DEV-CS-STR-0005_05		3 rd Party Doc No (If applicable):		N/A	
Rev No.	Date	Status / Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Reviewed by		Approved by
1.0	March 2024	DCO Application	SLR	GoBe	Shepherd and Wedderburn		Outer Dowsing
2.0	July 2024	Response to Section 51 Advice	SLR	Outer Dowsing	Shepherd and Wedderburn		Outer Dowsing
3.0	September 2024	Procedural Deadline 19 September	SLR	Outer Dowsing	Shepherd and Wedderburn		Outer Dowsing
4.0	December 2024	Deadline 3	SLR	Outer Dowsing	Shepherd and Wedderburn		Outer Dowsing
5.0	February 2025	Deadline 4	SLR	Outer Dowsing	Shepherd and Wedderburn		Outer Dowsing

Table of Contents

Acronyms & Terminology	7
Acronyms.....	7
Terminology	8
Reference Documentation.....	12
1 Introduction.....	13
1.1 Background.....	13
1.2 Purpose of this OLEMS	14
1.3 Structure of this OLEMS	14
1.3.1 Document Version	14
1.4 Related Documents	15
2 Landscape Mitigation Strategy	16
2.1 Primary Landscape Mitigation	16
2.2 Construction Phase Mitigation.....	16
2.3 Operational Phase Mitigation	17
2.4 Landfall, Onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor Landscape Mitigation Principles	17
2.5 OnSS Landscape Mitigation.....	18
2.5.1 Baseline Context	18
2.5.2 Outline Planting Principles.....	18
2.5.3 Proposed Planting.....	19
2.5.4 Advanced Planting	21
3 Ecological Conservation and Mitigation Strategy	22
3.1 Scope	22
3.1.1 Spatial Scope	22
3.1.2 Temporal Scope	23
3.1.3 Technical Scope.....	23
3.1.4 Embedded and Non-Embedded Mitigation.....	32
3.1.5 Layout of OLEMS.....	39
3.2 Overarching Sustainability Principles.....	40
3.3 Role of the Ecological Clerk of Works	40
3.4 Biosecurity Measures	42
3.4.1 General.....	42

3.4.2	Preventing spread of INNS	42
3.4.3	Preventing Spread of Disease	43
3.4.4	Biodiversity Awareness Training.....	44
3.5	Pre-commencement Surveys	45
3.6	Protection of Retained Habitats.....	47
3.6.1	Habitats of Principal Importance and Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Habitats 47	
3.6.2	Other Habitats.....	48
3.7	Mitigation Strategies for Species	50
3.7.1	Notable Plant Species	50
3.7.2	Eel.....	50
3.7.3	Amphibians	50
3.7.4	Reptiles.....	53
3.7.5	Birds	54
3.7.6	Bats.....	60
3.7.7	Badger	63
3.7.8	Otter.....	64
3.7.9	Water Vole	65
3.7.10	Other Terrestrial Mammals	67
3.8	Reinstatement, Enhancement and Creation of Habitats following Construction	67
3.8.1	Habitats in General, including Ditches and Trees.....	67
3.8.2	Habitats of Principal Importance and Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Habitats 68	
3.8.3	Greater Frampton Vision Area.....	70
3.9	Monitoring and Management.....	70
3.9.1	During Construction.....	70
3.9.2	During Operation	71
4	References	73
	Annex A – Protected Species Rationale and Further Mitigation	76
A.1	Introduction	77
A.2	GCN	78
A.2.1	Introduction.....	78
A.2.2	Summary of Baseline.....	78

A.2.3	ECC3 – Discussion of Licensable Impacts	78
A.2.4	ECC6 – Discussion of Licensable Impacts	79
A.2.5	Mitigation	80
A.3	Bats.....	82
A.3.1	Introduction.....	82
A.3.2	Summary of baseline.....	82
A.3.3	Update to Hedgerow Mitigation	82
A.3.4	Discussion of Licensable Disturbance Impacts to Roosts and Potential Roosts	83
A.3.5	Discussion of Licensable Disturbance Impacts to Habitats Functionally Linked to Roosts ..	84
A.3.6	Discussion around Changes to Design after Bat Surveys	85
A.3.7	Discussion of likely Impacts on Nathusius’ Pipistrelle Roost and Functionally Linked Habitat 86	
A.3.8	Mitigation	87
A.4	Otter.....	88
A.4.1	Introduction.....	88
A.4.2	Summary of Baseline.....	88
A.4.3	Summary of Impact Assessment	88
A.4.4	Mitigation for Disturbance (and Isolation).....	89
A.4.5	Mitigation	89
A.5	Water Vole	91
A.5.1	Introduction.....	91
A.5.2	Summary of Baseline.....	91
A.5.3	CL31 Licence	91
A.5.4	Walkover and Updated Survey	92
A.5.5	Destructive Search	93
A.5.6	Compensation Measures	94
A.5.7	Monitoring and Management.....	94
A.6	Hedgerows and Trees	96
A.6.1	Introduction.....	96
A.6.2	Hedgerows	96
A.6.3	Trees.....	98

Table of Tables

Table 1 Segment References	22
Table 2 Summary of those Ecological and Ornithological Receptors requiring Mitigation	24
Table 3: Embedding Mitigation relating to Onshore Ecology and Ornithology	32
Table 4: Non-Embedded Mitigation for Ecological Receptors.....	36
Table 5 Pre-Commencement Surveys.....	45

Table of Annex Tables

Table A.5.1 Direct Water Vole Impacts and Mitigation
Table A.6.1: List of Hedgerow with Direct Impacts Anticipated
Table A.6.2: List of Trees with Direct Impacts Anticipated

Table of Figures

Figure 1 Onshore Order Limits and Segments
Figure 2 Outline Landscape and Ecology (OLEMS) OnSS Indicative Layout and Mitigation Planting

Table of Annex Figures

Figure A1 Locations of GCN Metapopulations alongside Site Infrastructure
Figure A2 Locations of Potential Bat Roost Trees Requiring Visual and Acoustic Screening
Figure A3 Locations where Water Vole Burrows Interact with Site Infrastructure
Figure A4 Trees and Hedgerows Impacts
Figure A5 Trees and Hedgerows Impacts Detail

Acronyms & Terminology

Acronyms

Acronym	Description
APHA	Animal and Plant Health Agency
BAP	Biodiversity Action Plan
BCT	Bat Conservation Trust
BMV	Best and Most versatile
bTB	bovine Tuberculosis
CIEEM	Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice
CSCS	Construction Skills Certification Scheme
DCO	Development Consent Order
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
ECC	Export Cable Corridor
EclA	Ecological Impact Assessment
ECOW	Ecological Clerk of Works
EMP	Ecological Management Plan
EnMS	Environmental Management System
eDNA	Environmental DNA
EPS	European Protected Species
EPSL	European Protected Species Licence
ES	Environmental Statement
FC	Forestry Commission
FLL	Functionally Linked Land
GCN	Great Crested Newt
GT R4 Ltd	The Applicant. The special project vehicle created in partnership between Corio Generation (a wholly owned Green Investment Group portfolio company), Gulf Energy Development and Total Energies
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling
INNS	Invasive Non-Native Species
JNCC	Joint Nature Conservation Committee
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
LCC	Lincolnshire County Council
LEDPP	Landscape and Ecology Design Principles Plan
LMP	Landscape Management Plan
LPA	Local Planning Authority
LWS	Local Wildlife Site
LWT	Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs
MS	Method Statement
NE	Natural England
NERC	Natural Environment and Rural Communities

Acronym	Description
NGSS	National Grid Onshore Substation
NNSS	Non-Native Species Secretariat
ODOW	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (The Project)
OHPL	Overhead Power Line
OLEMS	Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy
OnSS	Onshore Substation
PEA	Preliminary Ecological Appraisal
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PPEIRP	Pollution Prevention and Emergency Incident Response Plan
PRF	Potential Roost Feature
PRoW	Public Right of Way
RAMs	Reasonable Avoidance Measures
RPA	Root Protection Area
RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
SPA	Special Protection Areas
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
TJB	Transition Joint Bay
UK	United Kingdom

Terminology

Term	Definition
400kV cable	High-voltage cables linking the OnSS to the NGSS.
400kV cable corridor	The 400kV cable corridor is the area within which the 400kV cables connecting the onshore substation to the NGSS will be situated.
The Applicant	GT R4 Ltd. The Applicant making the application for a DCO. The Applicant is GT R4 Limited (a joint venture between Corio Generation, TotalEnergies and Gulf Energy Development (GULF)), trading as Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind. The Project is being developed by Corio Generation (a wholly owned Green Investment Group portfolio company), TotalEnergies and GULF.
Avoidance	Avoidance is used where an impact has been avoided, e.g., through changes in the Project design.
Baseline	The status of the environment at the time of assessment without the development in place.
Biodiversity Net Gain	An approach to development that leaves biodiversity in a measurably improved state than it was previously. Where a development has an impact on biodiversity, developers are encouraged to provide an increase in appropriate natural habitat and ecological features over and above that being affected, to ensure that the current loss of biodiversity through development will be halted and ecological networks can be restored.

Term	Definition
Cable ducts	A duct is a length of underground piping which is used to house the Cable Circuits.
Compensation	Compensation describes measures taken to offset residual effects, i.e., where mitigation <i>in situ</i> . is not possible.
Connection Area	An indicative search area for the NGSS.
Cumulative Effect	The combined effect of the Project acting cumulatively with the effects of a number of different projects, on the same single receptor/resource.
Cumulative Impact	Impacts that result from changes caused by other past, present or reasonably foreseeable actions together with the Project.
Damage	Damage here means any form of impact such as loss of habitat, soil compaction, changes in hydrology, nutrient enrichment, pollution, disturbance of species, spread of invasive species, etc.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) from the Secretary of State (SoS) for Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ).
Effect	Term used to express the consequence of an impact.
Enhancement	Enhancement is the provision of new benefits for biodiversity that are additional to those provided as part of mitigation or compensation measures, although they can be complementary.
Environmental Statement (ES)	The suite of documents that detail the processes and results of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).
Haul Road	The track within the onshore ECC which the construction traffic would use to facilitate construction.
Impact	An impact to the receiving environment is defined as any change to its baseline condition, either adverse or beneficial.
Indicative Working Width	The indicative working width within the Export Cable Corridor (ECC), required for the construction of the onshore cable route.
Intertidal	Area where the ocean meets the land between high and low tides.
Joint Bays	A joint bay provides a secure environment for the assembly of cable joints as well as bonding and earthing leads. A joint bay is installed between each length of cable.
Landfall	The location at the land-sea interface where the offshore export cable will come ashore.
Link Boxes	Underground chambers or above ground cabinets next to the cable trench housing electrical earthing links.
Minimisation	Minimisation is a measure to reduce a specific negative impact <i>in situ</i> .
Mitigation	Mitigation measures, or commitments, are commitments made by the Project to reduce and/or eliminate the potential for significant effects to arise as a result of the Project. Mitigation measures can be embedded (part of the project design) or secondarily added to reduce impacts in the case of potentially significant effects.

Term	Definition
National Grid Onshore Substation (NGSS)	The National Grid substation and associated enabling works to be developed by the National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET) into which the Project's 400kV Cables would connect.
Onshore Export Cable Corridor (ECC)	The Onshore Export Cable Corridor (Onshore ECC) is the area within which the export cable running from the landfall to the onshore substation will be situated.
Onshore Infrastructure	The combined name for all onshore infrastructure associated with the Project from landfall to grid connection.
Onshore substation (OnSS)	The Project's onshore substation, containing electrical equipment to enable connection to the National Grid.
Order Limits	The area subject to the application for development consent. The limits shown on the works plans within which the Project may be carried out.
Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (ODOW)	The Project
Pre-commencement and post-construction	The phases of the Project before and after construction takes place.
Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)	The PEIR was written in the style of a draft Environmental Statement (ES) and provided information to support and inform the statutory consultation process in the pre-application phase. The PEIR documentation is superseded by Project's ES that will accompany the application for the Development Consent Order (DCO).
Priority Habitats	The list of habitats of principal importance in England includes 56 habitats first identified as Priority Habitats in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan (UK BAP) and subsequently adopted under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006.
The Project	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind including proposed onshore and offshore infrastructure
Receptor	A distinct part of the environment on which effects could occur and can be the subject of specific assessments. Examples of receptors include species (or groups) of animals or plants, people (often categorised further such as 'residential' or those using areas for amenity or recreation), watercourses etc.
Study Area	Area(s) within which environmental impact may occur – Area within which the desk-based studies for habitats and species have been undertaken. Habitats and species have bespoke study areas which are described within this chapter. See also Zone of Influence.
Survey Area	Area within which the field-based surveys for habitats and species have been undertaken. Habitats and species may have bespoke survey areas
Transition Joint Bay (TJBs)	The offshore and onshore cable circuits are jointed on the landward side of the sea defences/beach in a Transition Joint Bay (TJB). The TJB is an underground chamber constructed of reinforced concrete which provides a secure and stable environment for the cable

Term	Definition
Trenched technique	Trenching is a construction excavation technique that involves digging a narrow trench in the ground for the installation, maintenance, or inspection of pipelines, conduits, or cables.
Trenchless technique	Trenchless technology is an underground construction method of installing, repairing and renewing underground pipes, ducts and cables using techniques which minimize or eliminate the need for excavation. Trenchless technologies involve methods of new pipe installation with minimum surface and environmental disruptions. These techniques may include Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD), thrust boring, auger boring, and pipe ramming, which allow ducts to be installed under an obstruction without breaking open the ground and digging a trench.
Zone of Influence	The area(s) over which ecological receptors may be affected by the biophysical changes caused by the Project and associated activities.

Reference Documentation

Document Number	Title
APP-058	Project Description
APP-059	Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives
APP-076	Onshore Ecology
APP-077	Onshore Ornithology
APP-081	Noise and Vibration
APP-083	Landscape and Visual Assessment
8.1 (Version 2)	Outline Code of Construction Practice
8.1.3 (Version 2)	Outline Soil Management Plan

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

1. This Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS) has been prepared on behalf of GT R4 Limited, trading as Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind ("the Project"), and hereafter referred to as the 'Applicant'.
2. It sets out in-principle measures designed to avoid, reduce, mitigate or compensate for potential impacts on landscape and biodiversity resources arising from the onshore elements of the Project, identified within the relevant chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES):
 - Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description (Document Reference APP-058);
 - Volume 1, Chapter 21: Onshore Ecology (Document Reference APP-076);
 - Volume 1, Chapter 22: Onshore Ornithology (Document Reference APP-077); and
 - Volume 1, Chapter 28: Landscape and Visual Assessment (Document Reference APP-083).
3. This OLEMS relates to the construction of the Landfall, Onshore Export Cable Corridor (ECC), 400kV cable corridor and Onshore Substation (OnSS). A full description of the Project is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description (Document Reference APP-058) and the indicative onshore infrastructure is shown in ES in Volume 2, Chapter 3, Figure 3.4 (document reference APP-089), however in summary:
 - The Landfall is where the offshore export cables will come ashore utilising Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) and will connect to the onshore export cables at the Transition Join Bay (TJB) area located in agricultural land west of Roman Bank road.
 - The onshore ECC and 400Kv cable corridor would be constructed primarily utilising open cut trenching techniques which are the standard means of cable installation. Where an open trenching approach is not possible or the Project have committed to avoiding certain features, for example, designated sites and certain roads and watercourses, trenchless techniques would be employed.
 - The OnSS construction would involve earthworks, including grading and drainage; the foundations would be piled or ground bearing, based on the prevailing ground conditions.
 - The OnSS would be connected to a National Grid Onshore Substation (NGSS), via a 400kV cable corridor and the OnSS construction would involve earthworks, including grading and drainage; the foundations would be piled or ground bearing, based on the prevailing ground conditions.
4. The Project is shown in Figure 3.5 of Volume 2 Chapter 3 Project Description (Document Reference APP-089), which illustrates the indicative locations of onshore infrastructure development within the Order Limits, as referred to in this document.

1.2 Purpose of this OLEMS

5. This OLEMS sets out the key landscape and ecology principles to inform the Landscape Management Plan (LMP) and Ecological Management Plan (EMP) which require to be submitted to the relevant planning authority for approval prior to construction in accordance with the requirements of the Development Consent Order (DCO).
6. The purpose of this OLEMS is to:
 - Set out the key measures to avoid, reduce, mitigate, or compensate for potential impacts on landscape and biodiversity resources, that may be required prior to, during and post construction (where applicable);
 - Provide an outline of the management required to ensure that both created and enhanced habitats achieve target condition, and that populations of species are maintained at favourable conservation status; and
 - Ensure compliance with the relevant legislation relating to ecology.
7. The LMP and EMP will be drafted in accordance with this OLEMS and will set out the measures that the Applicant and their contractors would be required to adopt. The LMP will be submitted to Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) in consultation with and the relevant local planning authorities (LPAs) and the EMP will be submitted to LCC in consultation with the relevant LPAs and the relevant statutory nature conservation body for approval prior to construction.
8. As required by the DCO, the EMP will include the following specific plans:
 - A protected species mitigation management plan;
 - A nesting birds management plan; and,
 - A non-native invasive species management plan.

1.3 Structure of this OLEMS

9. The OLEMS is divided into two main sections, Section 2 relating to landscape and Section 3 relating to onshore ecology and ornithology. Although presented separately, most habitat creation measures presented within the landscape section will serve to mitigate impacts upon ecological receptors, and an integrated approach to the development of designs has been taken. For example, the design of landscape planting has taken account of ecological principles such as the use of locally representative species.
10. Both sections take a chronological approach to structure, setting out measures required from enabling works, and progressing through the construction stages, finally to reinstatement and monitoring/management.

1.3.1 Document Version

11. The OLEMSs is a dynamic document designed to evolve in parallel with changes in the project's detailed design, stakeholder input, and any pertinent information that may arise throughout the project lifecycle.

12. Annex A to this document focuses on the mitigation measures and rationale for their adoption concerning the following species: bats, great crested newt (GCN), otter and water vole. A separate, confidential annex (Annex B) considers badgers.
13. In light of Natural England's feedback concerning reptiles (H63) and ancient trees (H94) (Natural England, 2024), references to Natural England's Standing Advice have been integrated within Section 3.6.1, which pertains to ancient trees, and Section 3.7.4, which addresses reptiles.

1.4 Related Documents

14. This OLEMS makes reference to Chapter 3 Project Description (Document Reference APP-058), Chapter 21 Onshore Ornithology (Document Reference APP-076), Chapter 22 Onshore Ecology (Document Reference APP-0772) and Chapter 28 Landscape and Visual Assessment (LVIA) (Document Reference APP-083).
15. Chapter 28 (Document Reference APP-0838) consider the potential effects of the removal of features in the landscape including vegetation cover such as hedgerows, ditches, trees and woodlands.
16. Chapter 21 (Document Reference APP-076) and Chapter 22 (Document Reference APP-077) consider the impacts of the Project on onshore ecology and onshore ornithology.
17. Specific details of some notable or sensitive ecological receptors (e.g. badger setts) have been omitted due to the potential risk to these species if locations were to enter the public domain. This information is provided in a confidential Volume 3, Appendix 21.5 CONFIDENTIAL Badger Desk Study and Field Survey (Document Reference APP-194).

2 Landscape Mitigation Strategy

2.1 Primary Landscape Mitigation

18. In respect of the onshore elements of the Project, primary mitigation has involved careful site selection and the sensitive siting and design of the onshore infrastructure, in order to reduce or avoid potential impacts.
19. The site selection process considered a range of environmental and technical constraints, including ensuring a good separation from settlement and rural properties, avoiding landscape elements, such as woodlands, trees and hedgerows, and considering issues such as flood risk (Volume 1, Chapter 4: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives (Document Reference APP-059)). The sensitivity of the surrounding landscape and of residents, road-users, workers and recreational users of the landscape was also a key consideration.
20. The capacity of the landscape to accommodate the onshore elements of the Project is assessed in relation to the natural screening afforded by landform, woodlands and trees and the degree to which other surrounding infrastructure and buildings influence visual screening. As screening is limited in this landscape, especially in respect of the area around the OnSS, the approach has been to locate the onshore ECC and the OnSS with the maximum separation from nearby settlements and rural properties as is practicable.
21. The close proximity of existing electricity overhead lines in the area around the OnSS provides a context of electrical infrastructure across the local and wider landscapes. There is also a more distant influence from the Spalding Energy Facility, located to the south of the OnSS.
22. Mitigation measures that were identified and adopted as part of the evolution of the project design (embedded into the project design) and that are relevant to Chapter 28 (Document Reference APP-083) are presented at Section 2.4 and Section 2.5.

2.2 Construction Phase Mitigation

23. Mitigation opportunities during the construction phase of works will primarily relate to the restrictions imposed on the working areas and measures identified in the Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (Document Reference APP-268).
24. This OLEMS and the Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268) seek to stipulate measures to avoid, reduce or offset environmental effects of the construction works, including those related to landscape elements, landscape character and visual amenity. The Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268) sets out measures to protect trees and hedgerows by using protective barriers such as fencing in areas where construction will take place.
25. Sensitive siting of the construction compound areas associated with the landfall, onshore ECC and the OnSS, combined with the commitment to using trenchless techniques along the onshore ECC have been important in reducing the loss of existing trees and hedgerows during the construction phase. The detailed design of these compounds and access into them will also look to avoid losses and protect existing trees and hedgerows during the construction phase.

2.3 Operational Phase Mitigation

26. Once the construction phases of the onshore elements are complete, replacement planting and new planting will be implemented at the landfall, onshore ECC, 400kV cable corridor and around the OnSS. Opportunities to implement planting on completion of the construction of phased elements in the Project will be optimised, for example replacement planting undertaken on completion of construction works at each segment of the onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor and in outlying areas around the OnSS.
27. Planting will form the principal landscape mitigation measure and landscape and visual effects will change over time as mitigation planting establishes and matures. The planting and restoration of habitat types forms part of the implementation of the onshore elements of the Project.
28. A standard 5-year maintenance period will be applied. This will include measures to ensure the successful establishment of the mitigation planting including watering, weeding, removing tree guards and replacing failed planting. The details of the maintenance operations will be presented in the final LMP.

2.4 Landfall, Onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor Landscape Mitigation

Principles

29. For the ES, the onshore ECC is has been refined down to a typical 80m working width and a typical 60m permanent corridor, as presented in Figure 3.3 (Document Reference: APP-089). This process involved the careful siting of the route to ensure a good separation distance from settlements and rural properties. Furthermore, the design of the onshore ECC and 400Kv cable corridor includes approximately 216 locations along the approximate 70km route (See ES Volume 2, Figure 3.4: Document Reference APP-089) , where trenchless techniques will be used, thus avoiding the physical and visual effects associated with open cut trenching across a substantial length of the route.
30. The landscape mitigation strategy for the onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor has helped in the refinement of the route and in identifying where trenchless techniques are required to avoid sensitive landscape features such as woodlands and hedgerows. The landscape and visual strategy, that has guided the design of these onshore components, is as follows:
 - Achievement of the best environmental fit of the 80m working width cable route where practicable, particularly in relation to maintaining separation from settlement and rural properties;
 - Utilising and locating trenchless techniques for the installation of the onshore ECC to reduce the loss of hedgerows, trees and woodland along the cable route;
 - Reinstatement of removed sections of hedgerows, or suitable replacement hedgerows provided for displaced or severed sections of hedgerows where practical;

- Sensitive siting of construction compounds and cable installation compounds such that the locations have been carefully selected, taking into account landscape and visual receptors to reduce impacts during the construction period where practicable;
- Restoration of all temporary works and construction areas in relation to re-establishment of ground cover, as soon as is reasonably practicable;
- Protection of all retained trees during the construction phase where practicable; and,
- Footpaths or cycleways that are temporarily disrupted by the onshore ECC, 400kV cable corridor or landfall will be temporarily diverted and then reinstated as part of the mitigation strategy as set out in the final Public Access Management Plan (PAMP) which will be in accordance with the Outline PAMP (Document Reference APP-291).

31. Following construction of the landfall, onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor, disturbed landcover and habitats would be reinstated. The overall aim of the reinstatement would be the re-establishment of existing ground cover, or returning the disturbed ground to its original agricultural use. Where possible, excavated soils will be carefully stored and reinstated as soon as possible. Hedgerow replacement will comprise species rich and locally representative species, and where trees are removed, they will be replaced with heavy standards at a ratio of 3:1.

2.5 OnSS Landscape Mitigation

2.5.1 Baseline Context

32. The OnSS will be located at Surfleet Marsh, an area which comprises predominantly arable farmland in a flat, reclaimed landscape. The fields are open and exposed with only occasional enclosure from hedgerows and tree belts, albeit with clusters of trees typically occurring around farmsteads and other rural properties.
33. The area in which the OnSS is located is bound to the southwest by the Overhead Line (OHL), to the northwest by the A16, and to the southeast by the rural road, Marsh Drove. To the northeast the landscape is relatively open, and it is from this direction that the onshore ECC will approach to meet the OnSS. Within the wider landscape, the River Welland lies further to the southeast, defined by the steep enclosing banks which contrast with the flat and low-lying farmland. There is a distinct lack of hedgerows and tree cover in this landscape, with the exception of planting associated with the A16, and occasional trees associated with farmsteads and other rural properties.

2.5.2 Outline Planting Principles

34. The purpose of the mitigation planting associated with the OnSS is two-fold;
- to create an effective screen that will reduce and/or eliminate significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity; and,
 - to enhance biodiversity.

35. Despite the Lincolnshire Fens being characterised by an open and denuded landscape, the importance of reintroducing trees is recognised. At just 4%, and compared to the England average of 10%, Lincolnshire has one of the lowest tree coverage rates in the country. Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) recognises the importance of increasing tree coverage in light of the twin Climate and Nature Crises. LCC have been supporting tree planting projects and hope ultimately to reach the target of 750,000 new trees planted in the next couple of years.
36. The proposed mitigation planting for the OnSS is shown in Figure 2 of this report. This shows a framework comprising bands of planting that connect to form an effective screen, as well as a network of corridors for nature. The bands of planting comprise woodland belts where possible, and hedgerows where restrictions over, or under cables apply.
37. The bands of planting are mostly located along field boundaries or along roadsides. There are benefits to this approach in respect of both land use and screening. The OnSS is located in best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land. Rather than introducing woodland blocks or belts that would occupy fields or fragment fields and make them unusable for farming, the containment of planting along the field boundaries would minimise the disruption and enable farming to continue across most of the land surrounding the OnSS. Furthermore, the belts of woodland planting will create shelter from the winds that affect this exposed landscape and in so doing may help increase crop productivity.
38. In terms of screening, this is most effective when the planting is close to the visual receptors, such as road-users or residents, for example along roadsides and around associated rural properties, as it will create a screen in a shorter period, than if planted further away from the visual receptors and closer to the OnSS. Furthermore, the framework establishes layers of planting at different ranges between the OnSS and the surrounding visual receptors. The combined effect is a more substantial screen in which gaps in one layer are typically filled by another layer at a different range.
39. The landscape framework has been made possible by combining bands of planting along field boundaries and roadsides within the Order Limits around the OnSS. The extent of the mitigation planting shown around the OnSS is notably wide ranging and this has enabled an especially effective screen that will help to mitigate landscape and visual effects within 5 to 15 years of the approximately 35 year operational life of the Project.
40. Photomontages illustrating the effect of the mitigation planting in respect of the representative viewpoints are shown in the set of visualisations in Onshore Substation Visualisations (Computer Generated Indicative Model) (document reference APP-125 to APP-137). These show the mitigation planting following 15 years of growth, with the calculation of growth rates discussed further below.

2.5.3 Proposed Planting

41. The proposed mitigation planting for the OnSS comprises native woodland, hedgerow and grassland species. The key aims of the proposed mitigation planting will be as follows:
 - To create screening from key visual receptors in the surrounding area such as rural farmsteads and properties, rural roads and Public Rights of Way (PRoWs);

- To improve the appearance of the OnSS by reducing the perceived scale and mass of the OnSS and presenting a natural and organic screen and/or backdrop that will contrast with the built form;
- Increasing the biodiversity potential of the heavily modified agricultural landscape prevalent in these areas; and,
- Exploring opportunities to connect with existing hedgerows and woodland areas to contribute to an improved integrated green network for wildlife.

42. The mitigation woodland planting would comprise a mix of faster growing 'nurse' species and slower growing 'core' species. Nurse species, such as field maple, aspen, hazel and black poplar, would grow quicker so that after 15-years they would be approximately 6.8m to 8.3m in height. They would provide shelter to bring on core species, such as oak, elder, lime and willow. Whilst the nurse species would be sufficiently fast growing to provide substantial screening of the OnSS after 15-years, the core species would outlive the nurse species and provide a preferred native woodland with a more robust structure closer in character to other woodland copses in this area.
43. The growth rates of 6.8 to 8.3m over 15 years presents a conservative estimate in respect of common native species and is based on guidance set out in A Woody Plant Selection Guide (Skinner, D., 1987). The calculations are run on a base height for whips at 0.8m and then average predicted growth rates of between 0.4 and 0.5m for the following 15 years. While growth rates may be slower than this over the first 3 to 5 years, as the plants get established, typically growth will accelerate in the years that follow.
44. Proposed woodland planting could be spaced to maximise growth rate and ultimate screening potential. An example of this would be to plant approximately one plant per 1.5m² in natural groups and not too regimented, for example in randomly spaced species groups of three, five and seven plants. The precise detail of these spacings should form part of the planting schedule at a more detailed stage.
45. Hedgerow planting would comprise species rich hedgerows using locally representative species such as hawthorn, dogwood and holly. The proposed hedgerows and woodland planting could restore historic field boundaries and strengthen lines of existing field boundaries, connecting new planting to established hedgerows and tree cover in the area, thereby complimenting the existing landscape structure. Hedgerow planting would typically involve six hedging plants set out in a staggered row over each linear metre. It is assumed that hedgerows would be managed to maintain a height of approximately 1.5m, but could be grown taller to 2.5m or more.

46. The quality of the topsoil on the site has not been tested. The Land Information System classifies most of the Lincolnshire Fens as Soilscape 21 (LandIS Website). The general description is *'loamy and clayey soils of coastal flats with naturally high groundwater'* and while the drainage is naturally wet, the fertility is lime rich to moderate. The extensive drainage system across this landscape helps moderate issues of flooding and while the lighter soils are highly productive and support a wide range of crops, heavier soils are less easily worked and favour grass.
47. Given the existing and historical agricultural use of this area, it is considered likely to be of relatively good quality, although potential for both flooding and drought will be taken into consideration of increasing risks associated with climate change and species selected accordingly. In relation to preparation of the planting areas, the following guidelines could be followed: ensure the area is weed free prior to planting; and break existing ground identified for tree planting to a suitable depth, harrow and remove large stones.
48. The final design for the landscape planting will be developed in line with the design review process, as set out in the Design Approach Document (Document Reference APP-292) and the Onshore Design Principles Document (Document Reference APP-293). The plans will be submitted for approved by the Local Planning Authority. Regardless of potential refinements to the final planting layout, the proposed screening effect will still be achieved and the conclusions of the LVIA chapter will remain unchanged.

2.5.4 Advanced Planting

49. In situations where it would be practical to undertake advanced planting and in locations where there would not be any interference with access or construction works, mitigation planting could be implemented during or even prior to the early phases of the construction of the OnSS. Where implemented, advanced planting could potentially give the woodland in these areas an additional 1 to 4 years of growth prior to completion of construction and commencement of operation. This will contribute to the height of the planting and reduce the period which it will take the planting to create an effective screen, especially where planted adjacent to road-sides and settlement.
50. In order to ensure a worst-case scenario is assessed in the LVIA, advanced planting has not been considered despite there being potential for this to be achieved, especially in respect of the planting areas that are more distant from the OnSS and peripheral planting areas around the OnSS.

3 Ecological Conservation and Mitigation Strategy

3.1 Scope

3.1.1 Spatial Scope

51. This OLEMS relates to the onshore elements of the Project only. In the context of onshore ecology, this refers to the elements of the Project landward of Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) and in the context of ornithology this is considered to be landward of Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS). Details of ecological mitigation measures relating to the offshore elements of the Project, including intertidal and subtidal environments, are provided in the relevant chapters of the ES:
- Volume 1, Chapter 9: Benthic and Intertidal Ecology (Document Reference APP-064);
 - Volume 1, Chapter 10: Fish and Shellfish Ecology (Document Reference APP-065);
 - Volume 1, Chapter 11: Marine Mammals (Document Reference APP-066); and
 - Volume 1 Chapter 12: Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology (Document Reference AS1-040).
52. Within the onshore environment, avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures (as defined in the ES and Sections 3.3 to 3.8), will be restricted to the areas within the Order Limits. Further details of how on-site mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures will be secured are provided in Section 3.8 of this document.
53. To aid description, the Order Limits has been divided into segments as set out in Table 1. The location of important ecological features is described in relation to these segments in Table 2. The locations of each segment are illustrated in Figure 1.

Table 1 Segment References

Segment Name
ECC 1: Landfall to A52 – Hogsthorpe
ECC 2: A52 – Hogsthorpe to Marsh Lane
ECC 3: Marsh Lane to A158 - Skegness Road
ECC 4: A158 – Skegness Road to Low Road
ECC 5: Low Road to Steeping River
ECC 6: Steeping River to Fodder Dike Bank/Fen Bank
ECC 7: Fodder Dike Bank/Fen Bank to Broadgate
ECC 8: Broadgate to Ings Drove
ECC 9: Ings Drove to Church End Lane
ECC 10: Church End Lane to The Haven
ECC 11: The Haven to Marsh Road
ECC 12: Marsh Road to Fosdyke Bridge
ECC 13: Fosdyke to Surfleet Marsh OnSS/Marsh Drove
ECC 14: Surfleet Marsh OnSS/Marsh Drove to Connection Area

3.1.2 Temporal Scope

3.1.2.1 Pre-commencement, Construction and Operational Phases

54. This OLEMS covers the pre-commencement phase, construction phase, creation/restoration phase and the operational phase aftercare period (detailed in Chapter 3 (Document Reference APP-058)) i.e. until such time as reinstatement measures are deemed to be successful. It also covers longer term management of habitat at the OnSS, for which full details will be included in the EMP. Where relevant, measures to be employed during preventative (planned) maintenance throughout the operational phase are also included within this OLEMS.
55. Measures which relate to the operational phase are highlighted in Sections 3.8 and 3.9 of this document, accepting that aftercare activities lasting up to five years will be performed during the operational phase.
56. A programme will be provided in the EMP, once further details of all the relevant measures have been developed and agreed post consent.
57. The extent or nature of any unplanned corrective maintenance required during the operational phase cannot be fully predicted at this stage.
58. Mitigation measures relating to any unplanned corrective maintenance during the operational phase are therefore not included within this document. Provision for the types of mitigation required for unplanned corrective maintenance will be included within the EMP.

3.1.2.2 Decommissioning Phase

59. It is anticipated that a separate EMP would be produced to cover the decommissioning phase as part of the proposed decommissioning plan. Therefore, the decommissioning phase is not covered in this document. Decommissioning measures would be based on updated ecological survey data and would adhere to relevant legislation and good practice guidelines in place at the time.

3.1.3 Technical Scope

60. Table 5.10 of Chapter 21 (document APP-076) and Table 22.6 of Chapter 22 (Document Reference APP-077) present the Important Ecological Features identified during the assessment.
61. Table 2 below provides a comprehensive list of all receptors, including ornithological features, that may be impacted by the Project without mitigation in place. The ecological features encompass those considered 'important' according to Chapter 21, as well as those of less than local significance to nature conservation (for example, drainage ditches), and features with legal protection (for example, badger).

Table 2 Summary of those Ecological and Ornithological Receptors requiring Mitigation

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
Section 41 Priority Habitats		
Arable field margins (UKHab c1a, c1a5)	A total of 84.80 ha of c1a and 0.44ha of c1a5 exist within the Order Limits. A maximum total of 0.969 ha of c1a will be permanently lost (with 87% (0.843ha) of the c1a permanently lost will be replaced with new hedgerow and woodland planting around the OnSS). A maximum total of 5.17 ha temporarily impacted during construction.	Figures 6.2.21.3 and 6.2.21.4 of Chapter 21 (Document Reference AS1-057) show all priority habitat locations with temporary and permanent habitat loss. Figure 21.3.1 of Appendix 21.3 (Document Reference APP-191) illustrates the locations of hedgerows. Figure 21.2.1 of Appendix 21.2 (Document Reference APP-190) provides the location of ponds and other water bodies.
Hedgerows (including hedgerows with trees) (UKHab h2, h2a)	A total of 73 hedgerows are present with the Order Limits. Of these, three were evaluated to be of importance using a precautionary approach, under the Hedgerow Regulations Assessment: ■ Hedgerow 546 in ECC 5: Low Road to Steeping River. ■ Hedgerow 1926: ECC 7: Fodder Dike Bank/Fen Bank to Broadgate ■ Hedgerow 1928 in ECC 12: Marsh Road to Fosdyke Bridge Hedgerows 546, 1926 and 1928 lie within a trenchless zone and will not be directly affected. A total of 886 m of hedgerow will be temporarily lost during construction.	Figure 21.3.1 of Appendix 21.3 (Document Reference APP-191) provides the locations of hedgerows.

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
Other Habitats		
Ditches (UK Hab r2b)	A total of 408 ditches exist inside the Order Limits. Of these, 58 ditches lie within the trenchless zones and 355 are situated within working areas. Temporary loss of lengths of ditch will be experienced at open trench crossing points. Culverts will be temporarily installed to allow for construction of the haul road.	Figure 21.2.1 of Appendix 21.2 (Document Reference APP-190) provides results of the UK Habitat Classification Survey. Figure 6.2.21.1 (Document Reference AS1-057)) show temporary and permanent losses of UK Habitat types.
Trees (including veteran/ ancient) /woodland (UK Hab w)	There are no woodland blocks within the Order Limits. There are 146 trees within the Order Limits, of which 52 are situated within areas proposed for temporary or permanent works, with the remainder assumed to be retained. No veteran or ancient trees noted within the Order Limits during the desk study, UK Habitat Classification surveys or preliminary roost inspections for bats.	
Protected/Notable Species		
Rare arable weeds and uncommon plants	No rare arable weeds or uncommon plants recorded within the Order Limits during the desk study or UK Habitat Classification survey. Loss of previously undetected plants could occur in temporary and permanent working areas.	Figure 6.2.21.1 (AS1-057) show temporary and permanent losses of UK Habitat types.
Eels	The aquatic habitats have been assessed as being of low quality for fish. However, there is potential for eel to migrate along the River Welland and The Haven.	Figure 6.2.21.10 (Document Reference APP-112) illustrate the sections of the River Welland and The Haven under consideration.

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
Amphibians (including GCN, common toad and smooth newt)	GCN were found to be largely absent from the landscape, apart from two isolated metapopulations around Segments ECC 3 and ECC 6 (located outside of the Order Limits but within 500m). A positive eDNA result was returned for Pond WM_42 which is located approximately 345 m east of the Order Limits at ECC 3 and is located adjacent to Ditch 626, which runs into and across the Order Limits. Common toad and smooth newt are likely to be present in surface water features and suitable terrestrial habitats. Temporary loss of terrestrial habitats may impact the metapopulations of GCN at ECC 3 and ECC 6. Temporary and permanent loss of aquatic and terrestrial habitats may impact common toad and smooth newt.	Figures 6.2.21.5 of Chapter 21 (Document Reference APP-112) illustrates the impact on GCN.
Reptiles (including grass snake, common lizard and slow worm)	The background data search returned records of common lizard, slow worm and grass snake in the local area and a habitat suitability assessment was undertaken. No permanent impacts are predicted for any area identified as having 'good' or 'exceptional' reptile habitat quality. Low risk to reptiles from vegetation clearance and construction phases only.	Figure 6.2.21.6 of Chapter 21 (Document Reference APP-112) shows Areas with potential for reptiles that will be impacted by works.
Birds (SPA/Ramsar qualifying features and SSSI listed features)	Dark-bellied brent goose: Peak flock count of 1,100 (2022/23) exceed 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding) and close to 1% of the GB population (non-breeding).	Figure 22.3.1 of Appendix 22.3 (Document Reference APP-306)

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
	Pink-footed goose: Peak flock count of 5,000 (2023/24) close to or exceed 1% of the designated site populations (non-breeding).	illustrates the winter bird survey results 2022/23.
	Whooper swan: Peak flock count of 297 (2023/24) with widespread distribution along the ECC route.	Figure 1 of Addendum 13.2 (document reference AS1-108) illustrates the winter bird survey results 2023/24.
	Shelduck: Peak flock count of 15 (2022/23) with widespread distribution along the ECC route.	
	Gadwall: Peak flock count of 165 (2023/24) exceed 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding).	Figure 22.3.2 of Appendix 22.4 (Document Reference APP205) illustrate the breeding bird survey results (non-Schedule 1 species).
	Wigeon: Peak flock count of 450 (2022/23) exceed 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding).	
	Pintail: Peak flock count of 2 (2022/23) from landfall surveys only (present on one visit).	Figure 22.3A.1 of Appendix 22.5 (Document Reference APP-206) provides the breeding bird survey results for Schedule 1 species.
	Teal: Peak count of 300 (2023/24) from ECC surveys.	
	Scaup: Single observation of 138 birds (2022/23) from the ECC surveys.	
	Pochard: Two observations with a peak flock count of 9 (2022/23) from ECC surveys.	
	Eider: Single observation of 1 bird (2022/23) from landfall surveys.	
	Common scoter: Peak of a 40 individuals (2022/23) from landfall surveys.	
	Red-throated diver: Five observations of single birds (2022/23) from landfall surveys.	
	Oystercatcher: Recorded in low numbers at various locations along ECC with a peak count of 23 birds (2022/24) Recorded on 11 frequently during landfall and ECC surveys counts, each of a single individual.	
	Avocet: Recorded in low numbers at various locations along the ECC, with a peak flock count of 2322 (2023/24). Breeding pairs exceed 1% of the designated site population (breeding).	

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
	Lapwing: Peak flock count of 2,000 (2023/24) exceed 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding). Single observation from late March, more likely to be birds prospecting to breed rather than non-breeding birds. Breeding pairs exceed 1% of the designated site population (breeding).	
	Golden plover: Feature has been recorded within the survey area with peak flock count 2,000 birds (2023/24) close to or in excess of 1% of the designated sites population, indicating that FLL for qualifying features is present within the survey area (non-breeding). Peak flock counts of 400 from ECC surveys.	
	Grey plover: Peak of a single bird recorded during landfall surveys. During ECC surveys recorded from The Haven only, with a peak flock count of 7 (2022/23). Feature has been recorded within the survey area with peak flock counts close to 1% of the designated sites population, indicating that functionally linked land (FLL) for qualifying features is present within the survey area (non-breeding).	
	Ringed plover: Peak flock count of 4 (2022/23). Peak of a single bird recorded during landfall surveys. During ECC surveys recorded from The Haven only, with a peak flock count of 7.	
	Curlew: Peak flock count of 103 (2023/24) exceed 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding). Peak flock count of 4, recorded at The Haven only.	
	Black-tailed godwit: Peak flock counts exceed 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding) of 16 birds (2022/23) from ECC surveys.	

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
	Turnstone: Recorded infrequently during ECC surveys with a single record of two individuals. Peak count of 18 individuals (2023/24).	
	Ruff: Recorded infrequently during landfall and ECC surveys with a peak count of 16 individuals (2023/24). Single record of two individuals.	
	Sanderling: Not recorded. LWT have advised of records from spring from Anderby Marsh. Frequently recorded during landfall surveys with a peak count of 57 birds (2023/24).	
	Dunlin: Peak count of 24 (2023/24) from landfall survey counts. During ECC surveys only recorded from Anderby Marsh and The Haven with a peak flock count of 46. Whilst the peak count exceeds 1% of the citation population, it is not close to 1% of the most recent WeBS counts (The Wash, non-breeding). Peak flock counts exceed 1% of the designated site population (Gibraltar Point, non-breeding).	
	Redshank: Peak count of 17 and recorded on 6% of landfall survey counts. During ECC surveys only recorded from Anderby Marsh and The Haven with a peak flock count of 46 (2022/23).	
	Black-headed gull: Frequently recorded during ECC surveys with a peak flock count of 600 close to or exceed 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding). Not recorded as a breeding species.	
	Sandwich tern: No breeding colonies within the survey area and limited suitable foraging habitat. Peak flock counts close to 1% of the designated site population (non-breeding).	
	Little tern: No breeding colonies within the survey area and limited suitable foraging habitat.	
	Common tern: No breeding colonies within the survey area and limited suitable foraging habitat.	

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
	Bittern: No breeding colonies within the survey area and limited suitable foraging habitat. Not recorded. LWT advised of non-breeding records from Wolla Bank Reedbed. No breeding colonies within the survey area and limited suitable foraging habitat.	
	Marsh harrier: Breeding territories exceed 1% of the designated site population (breeding) (2023). Not recorded. LWT advised of non-breeding records from Wolla Bank Reedbed.	
	Hen Harrier: Single observation of two birds (2022/23). Breeding territories exceed 1% of the designated site population (breeding).	
	Anderby Marsh (an LWT Reserve) would meet the LWS selection criteria under GM1 and GM2 (coastal grazing marsh) for supporting a breeding and non-breeding bird assemblage.	
Bats	There are records of at least seven species of bat within 2km of the Order Limits and two bat roosts within 5km. No evidence of bat roosting was found within the Order Limits, although roosting in trees cannot be ruled out. Static and manual activity surveys found bat activity to be very low across the Order Limits. A potential recording of Nathusius pipistrelle migration was recorded. Impacts to foraging and commuting bats from severance of flight lines is likely. Potential impacts on migrating and roosting bats are possible.	Figure 21.4.5 of Appendix 21.4 (Document Reference APP-193) illustrates important habitats for bats. Figure 21.4.5 of Appendix 21.4 (Document Reference APP-193) provides roost suitability mapping.
Badger	Just over 400 records of badger within the Study Area, including 3 within the Order Limits.	CONFIDENTIAL Badger Desk Study and Field Survey (Document Reference APP-194) provides details of sett locations.

Ecological Receptor	Summary of Receptor	Location Details
	A total of 98 setts identified within the Survey Area, with 14 inside the Order Limits. 12 setts identified within 20m of temporary or permanent working areas.	
Otter	A total of 636 ditches exist within the Order Limits and functionally linked within the 250m buffer zone. Evidence of otter presence found in ECC 2, ECC 3, ECC 5, ECC 10, ECC 13 and ECC14. Possible disturbance and isolation effects on otters commuting along the Wainfleet Relief Channel, watercourses 1621 and 1623 and the River Welland, including a potential natal holt in ECC 10, associated with the Hobhole drain. Elsewhere, impact is limited to minor disturbance within the large territorial ranges of otter.	Figure 21.6.3 of Appendix 21.6 (Document Reference APP-195) provides the locations of otter field signs recorded during field surveys.
Water Vole	Evidence of water vole presence found in all Segments with the exception of ECC 13 and 14. Direct impacts on water vole/their burrows could occur at three discrete locations within ECC 6, 6 and 12.	Figure 21.6.2 Appendix 21.6 (Document Reference APP-195) provides the locations of water vole field signs recorded during field surveys.
INNS	No records or INNS or evidence of their presence within the Order Limits found.	N/A

62. The Project will avoid all designated sites.

63. Those Annex 1 habitats, Priority Habitats and Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan habitats that lie within the Order Limits, but are avoided by the Project (through adoption of trenchless techniques) include:

- Embryonic shifting dunes;
- Dunes with sea buckthorn;
- Coastal saltmarsh (including estuaries);
- Intertidal mudflats (including estuaries);
- Coastal floodplain grazing marsh/ grazing marsh;
- Reedbeds;
- Coastal sand dunes; and,
- Priority ponds (and lakes).

64. Mitigation measures for designated sites and all habitat types, including the Annex 1, priority and LBAP habitats listed above, are principally covered in the Biosecurity Measures provided in Section 3.4 and in the outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268), which includes the pollution prevention measures set out in Table 5.

3.1.4 Embedded and Non-Embedded Mitigation

65. Mitigation measures that were identified and adopted as part of the development of the Project design and are therefore ‘embedded’ into the Project design; that are relevant to Onshore Ecology are listed in Table 3.

66. Table 4: Non-Embedded Mitigation for Ecological Receptors summarises those measures identified through the impact assessment process as required to address potentially significant effects in relation to Important Ecological Features (IEF).

67. Provision for both the embedded and non-embedded mitigation requirements set out in Table 3 and Table 4 respectively below, are outlined within this OLEMS. The exception to this is for project design, which has already been identified within Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description (Document Reference APP-058).

Table 3: Embedding Mitigation relating to Onshore Ecology and Ornithology

Project phase	Mitigation measures embedded into the project design
General	
Project design	Careful siting of the Order Limits to avoid direct impacts to designated sites and avoidance of direct impacts on key areas of sensitivity including Annex 1 and Priority Habitats (for example coastal sand dunes and reedbeds) which may support protected species, wherever possible. Where the Order Limits crosses LWS’s and LWT reserves (such as Anderby Creek Sand Dunes LWS), trenchless techniques will be used.

Project phase	Mitigation measures embedded into the project design
	This OLEMS sets out the key landscape and ecology principles to inform the EMP, which is conditioned as a requirement of the Development Consent Order (DCO). The OLEMS presents embedded mitigation with regarding to habitat reinstatement, enhancement and creation. The EMP would be based on the OLEMS principles and would set out the measures that the Undertaker and their contractors would be required to adopt. The EMP will be prepared in consultation with the Local Planning Authority (LPA).
Construction	
ECoW	Ecological Clerks of Works (ECoWs) will be employed to oversee construction work and minimise risks to IEFs and IOFs. Checks for the presence of badger setts, birds, reptiles, amphibians, hedgehogs and other protected or notable species will be carried out by the ECoW prior to vegetation clearance. Additional reasonable avoidance measures will be implemented, and mitigation licences will be applied for, as necessary.
Minimising disturbance to protected species beyond the construction footprint.	There will be subsoil and topsoil bunds within working areas of the Order Limits which will provide a degree of visual and acoustic screening between the works and the surrounding landscape. Further information on soil storage areas is provided in Chapter 3 Project Description (Document Reference APP-058). The MDS includes for the use of silent piling technology (at landfall) and vibratory sheet piling, rather than impact piling along the onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor, with impact piling limited to the OnSS Construction. See ES Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration (Document Reference APP-081) for details on anticipated noise and vibration levels associated with piling methods. Artificial lighting during construction will be managed in line with the final CoCP to be drafted in line with the Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268). In response to comments from NE, the Project has committed to the retention and protection of bat flight lines during construction using protective fencing (such as Heras) to protect retained hedgerows and trees (including their root structure) from damage during construction. These will further be retained and protected through sensitive lighting design, which will be outlined in the Artificial Light Emissions Plan forming part of the final (CoCP).
Pollution prevention	As described in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268), detailed Construction Method Statements will be developed by the Principal Contractor for relevant construction operations. Relevant Construction Method Statements will be included as part of the final CoCP for each phase of the works. The Outline CoCP includes the following, which are relied upon to varying degrees as embedded mitigation: ▪ Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan; ▪ Outline Air Quality Management Plan;

Project phase	Mitigation measures embedded into the project design
	■ Outline Soil Management Plan; ■ Outline Onshore Pollution Prevention and Emergency Incident Response Plan; and, ■ Outline Surface Water and Drainage Strategy. The construction dust mitigation measures recommended as part of the construction dust assessment will form inclusion within the final CoCP, in agreement with the relevant Authority. All construction work will be undertaken in accordance with the Outline soil management plan (OSMP) (Document Reference APP-271) as part of the Outline CoCP. All soil handling, placing, compaction and management will be undertaken in accordance with best practice (Defra, 2009). All construction work will be managed in line with the Pollution Prevention and Emergency Response Plan (PPEIRP) to be drafted in line with the Outline PPEIRP as included in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-272). Construction will be managed in line with CIRIA – SuDS Manual (C753) (CIRIA, 2015) including the following measures: ■ No discharge to main river watercourses will occur without permission from the Environment Agency (SuDS Manual); ■ Wheel washers and dust suppression measures to be used as appropriate to prevent the migration of pollutants (SuDS Manual); and, ■ Regular cleaning of roads of any construction waste and dirt to be carried out (SuDS Manual). Construction will also be managed in line with Control of Water Pollution from Construction Sites – Guidance for Consultants and Contractors CIRIA (C532) (CIRIA, 2001). The standards that would be expected to meet any licence or environmental permit for works in relation to the water environment will be applied for all works (e.g. drilling, crossing, culverting, passing under or through) affecting the sea defence structures, Main Rivers, ordinary watercourses and IDB watercourses.
Invasive Non Native Species (INNS)	All construction work will be undertaken in accordance with the biosecurity measures outlined in Section 3.4 of the OLEMS (Document Reference 8.10, Version 3, Submitted 19 th September 2024).
Reinstatement	The Project has made a commitment to reinstate habitats as soon as practicable following construction. Hedgerows will be reinstated using a species-rich, locally appropriate native mixture. Where trees are lost these will be replaced with heavy standard trees at a 3:1 ratio. Older hedgerow saplings will be used to re-establish hedgerows more quickly, as well as gap-fill existing hedges. All saplings will be planted with appropriate protection from pests.

Project phase	Mitigation measures embedded into the project design
	In response to comments from NE, the Project has committed to replace any trees to be removed for construction as soon as is practicably possible, within the Order Limits and at a greater number than have been removed.
Operation and Maintenance	
General	Operational practices will incorporate measures to prevent pollution and increased flood risk, including emergency spill response procedures, clean up and control of any potentially contaminated surface water runoff. These measures will be included within an Environmental Management System (EnMS). The EnMS will include specific measures to avoid potential impact to protected or notable species or sensitive habitats. Where unplanned operational or maintenance works are required, appropriate mitigation measures would be developed and agreed with relevant consultees prior to works taking place.
Decommissioning	
General	Decommissioning practices will incorporate measures similar to the construction phase, to prevent impact to ecological features. Provision of a decommissioning plan in advance of decommissioning works is a requirement of the DCO, to include protection of ecological features, based on up-to-date survey information and relevant guidance in place at the time of decommissioning.

Table 4: Non-Embedded Mitigation for Ecological Receptors

Ecological Receptor	Predicted impact pre mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Predicted impact post-mitigation	Significant in EIA terms?
Veteran Trees	Not assessed, not recorded in Order Limits	In order to mitigate the risk of loss of, or damage to veteran trees, final project design will seek to avoid boundary features wherever possible. Any tree that cannot be retained will be subject to pre-commencement surveys to assess if ancient or veteran or not. Appropriate mitigation and compensation for any losses of veteran or ancient trees will be agreed with relevant stakeholders.	No significant effects	No
Rare arable weeds	Not assessed, not recorded in Order Limits	Alternatives to herbicides will be used wherever possible during the construction phase. Pre-commencement surveys of suitable and impacted habitat will be undertaken where necessary.	No significant effects	No
Eels	No significant effect	The detailed design of the trenchless cable installation will be further refined at contract award, and therefore to mitigate impacts arising from any changes, an updated fish survey will be undertaken (if required), and specific mitigation measures in the EMP updated (where required) and agreed with relevant stakeholders. The fish impact assessment will be updated on receipt of detailed design for Cable Installation Compounds (CICs) and other infrastructure within close proximity to major watercourses.	No significant effects	No
GCN	Significant effect on metapopulation at ECC 6 (but negligible for amphibians as a group)	EPSL to cover works, reasonable avoidance measures (RAMs) for track matting installation/ removal.	No significant effects	No
Reptiles	Negligible negative effect	Pre-commencement surveys to tailor the siting of mitigation measures to the final project design, including: RAMs covering sensitive vegetation clearance and destructive search; temporary artificial refugia provided during construction; safe underpasses where haul	No significant effects	No

Ecological Receptor	Predicted impact pre mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Predicted impact post-mitigation	Significant in EIA terms?
		road leads to isolation effects, and where culverts are absent – Reptile Area 4.		
Bats	Significant negative effect (flight lines)	Use of artificial flight lines during construction, sensitive layout of compounds to avoid disturbance impacts on potential roosts (within and outwith the Order Limits), and use of acoustic fencing and/ or the strategic placement of bunds where such impacts cannot be designed out. Pre-commencement surveys in accordance with good practice, currently Collins, J (ed) (2023), to include tree-climbing inspections where impacts on potential tree roosts are predicted. Based on survey data collected to inform the ES and detailed consultation with the principal project engineer, no impacts requiring a NE licence are considered to occur either as a result of the construction or operational phases of the Project. However, this situation will be reviewed by analysing the results of existing survey data and pre-commencement surveys against the final, detailed design for the Project. This commitment is set out in Annex A.3.	No significant effects	No
Badger	No significant effect	Pre-commencement surveys to tailor the siting of mitigation measures to the final project design; NE licence where impacts cannot be avoided; RAMs to guide works where setts retained.	No significant effects	No
Otter	Significant effect at site level only	Monitoring of holts to determine status, NE licence where impacts cannot be avoided, sensitive layout of compounds to prevent disturbance to adjacent watercourses, culverts installed in watercourses where otter have been recorded under the supervision of the ECOW.	No significant effects	No
Water vole	Significant effect at site level only	Pre-commencement surveys to inform detailed design, and NE licence if impacts cannot be avoided. Culverts installed in watercourses where water vole to be installed under the supervision of the ECOW. Sensitive	No significant effects	No

Ecological Receptor	Predicted impact pre mitigation	Mitigation Measures	Predicted impact post-mitigation	Significant in EIA terms?
		vegetation clearance along watercourses where water vole have been recorded.		

3.1.5 Layout of OLEMS

68. The layout of this OLEMS in respect of ecology includes:

- Overarching sustainability principles;
- The role of the Ecological Clerk of Works (ECOW);
- Biosecurity Measures (including those for non-native, invasive species);
- Pre-commencement surveys;
- Protection of retained habitats (including priority habitats – hedgerows and arable field margins);
- Measures to address potential impacts on protected or notable species including:
 - Notable Plants;
 - Eel;
 - Amphibians;
 - Reptiles;
 - Birds;
 - Bats;
 - Badger;
 - Otter;
 - Water vole; and,
 - Other terrestrial mammals;
- Re-instatement, enhancement and creation of habitats following construction including:
 - Priority habitats – hedgerows and arable field margins;
 - Greater Frampton Vision; and,
 - Biodiversity Net Gain;
- Monitoring and management.

69. Details of proposed measures to manage potential impacts due to accidental pollution, both airborne (including dust) and waterborne, are provided in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268) and are not repeated here.

70. This OLEMS includes initial proposals for biodiversity enhancements, in accordance with relevant planning policy. These proposals will be developed further in consultation with relevant stakeholders and details provided within the EMP.

71. The OLEMS also includes proposals for monitoring and review (i.e. the EMP will be subject to review at regular intervals), where required. Relevant, appropriately timed monitoring is important to enable the success of the measures set out in the EMP to be determined and to identify the need for measures to be altered, if required.

3.2 Overarching Sustainability Principles

72. The detailed design and implementation of outline measures set out here to mitigate and compensate for ecological impacts will apply the following principles with the aim of ensuring the execution of this work is as sustainable as practicable:

- Minimise consumption of materials and production of waste. Single-use plastics will be avoided, for example the use of reusable or compostable alternatives to plastic tree guards will be explored;
- Re-use of waste materials arising from construction, for example translocation of hedgerows where removal is required, or use of fallen trees to create hibernacula for herpetofauna or generic habitat piles;
- Alternatives to herbicides will be used wherever practicable, for example cutting and mulching around newly planted tree bases, although it is noted that eradication of some non-native plants may require very localised and controlled use;
- Conservation of water for example through the use of drought tolerant species in planting schemes and further reducing need for supplementary watering by using container grown trees, introducing soil improvers and topical mulching to increase soil moisture retention; and
- Minimising use of fossil fuels by using public transport and electric vehicles when travelling to, from and within the site. Car sharing would also be adopted to reduce the number of vehicle movements required.

73. On completion of the detailed design for the Project, a review will be undertaken to further inform the above list, and to design in additional measures to increase sustainability in the implementation of these works. Such measures will be set out in the EMP document.

3.3 Role of the Ecological Clerk of Works

74. An Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) would be employed for the duration of the Project construction (including pre-commencement/enabling works) to ensure species specific mitigation, method statements and plans are implemented effectively. All ecological measures within the EMP will be undertaken under the guidance of the ECoW. Supervision of post-construction monitoring and management is covered in Section 3.9.

75. Given the large scale of the Project, it is anticipated that an ECoW team maybe required, with the lead ECoW delegating duties to an appropriately skilled and experienced deputy/ assistant ECoW(s), where necessary. The lead ECoW will be expected to have a minimum of three years' experience as a professional ecologist, including suitable ECoW experience, preferably on large linear infrastructure projects with knowledge of UK ecological policy and legislation and a member of an appropriate professional body. In the case of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM), this will be Associate grade (ACIEEM) or above. They will also hold a Construction Skills Certification Scheme (CSCS) card (or equivalent). Deputy/assistant ECoWs will also be expected to possess a suitable qualification and/or have relevant professional experience.
76. Curriculum vitae for the lead ECoW and other members of the ECoW team will be provided to the Local Planning Authority to demonstrate adherence to the role description, prior to construction commencing, thereby ensuring that proposed ECoW team members are suitably qualified and experienced.
77. The ECoW/ ECoW Team will be appointed either by the Principal Contractor or by the Applicant to oversee preliminary works and construction works. It is also possible that separate ECoW/ ECoW Teams will be appointed by the Principal Contractor and the Applicant, with each ECoW/ ECoW team performing different roles.
78. The roles, responsibilities and lines of communication will be determined at the detailed design stage, with details provided in the EMP. However, typically an ECoW would undertake the following tasks:
- Assist in delivering site biodiversity awareness training, including inductions and toolbox talks (i.e., presentations and the dissemination of information to site personnel on ecological matters);
 - As necessary, assist with arranging specialist ecological surveys;
 - Oversee the implementation of measures to protect notable sites and habitats;
 - Undertake regular site inspections and checks for legally protected or notable species (including birds);
 - Monitor compliance with the EMP and, if required to/ approved by licensee, protected species licence(s), during construction;
 - Assist in reviewing the final Construction Method Statement; and,
 - Notify the Applicant and/ or Principal Contractor of any non-compliance with the requirements of the EMP.
79. In addition to the monitoring undertaken by the ECoW, the Applicant would appoint an appropriate external body with the specific task of undertaking compliance audits. The compliance audits shall include identified Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for each identified ecological feature. The KPIs would be agreed as part of the agreed EMP.

80. All site workers will be informed of the role and contact details of the ECoW. A copy of the EMP will be kept on site at all times and site workers will be made aware of its location and/or who to contact in order to obtain a copy of the EMP.

3.4 Biosecurity Measures

3.4.1 General

81. Pre-commencement surveys, mitigation works and monitoring and maintenance activities require personnel, equipment and machinery to move into and across the Order Limits. These activities could lead to the spread of non-native species and diseases without robust biosecurity measures.
82. The following sections draw on guidance produced by the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA), the Department for Environment and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) and the Forestry Commission (FC), and will provide a basis for the post-consent EMP document, which will be updated to include any relevant future guidance or legislative requirements.

3.4.2 Preventing spread of INNS

3.4.2.1 Non-native Plants

83. Where pre-commencement surveys (see below) confirm/identify the presence of non-native plants, exclusions zones will be demarcated around affected areas to prevent personnel/ machinery from coming into contact with such plants.
84. If removal of non-native plants is required to make way for construction activity, it may be necessary to engage specialist contractors to remove/irradicate in line with best practice and legislative requirements current at the time the work is required.
85. All field equipment, or machinery that comes into contact with waterbodies supporting aquatic non-native plants, such as New Zealand Pygmy weed, or areas supporting terrestrial non-native plants, such as Japanese knotweed (*Reynoutria japonica*), will be subject, as a minimum, to the biosecurity measures described below which will help to prevent spread. All field equipment or machinery that is entering or leaving the site should be cleaned and disinfected as follows:
- Use dedicated brush to scrub off any debris, plant fragments, mud etc after contact with waterbody/watercourse;
 - Rinse equipment with water before soaking in /spraying with bleach solution or Virkon for at least 5 minutes;
 - Rinse again with clean water;
 - Allow to dry before next use; and,

- Dispose of disinfectant solutions following the supplier's instructions. Unless otherwise stated, it is recommended that used disinfectant solutions should be poured directly into a drain connected to the sewerage system flushed with clean water (note that not all drains are connected to sewerage systems) or disposed of as hazardous waste.

86. Site personnel undertaking work in areas with non-native plants should thoroughly clean footwear, taking particular care to clean and disinfect the tread of shoes. Any contaminated work wear should be washed on a 60°C cycle to kill plant propagules trapped in clothing.

3.4.2.2 Non-native Animals

87. No invasive, non-native species of fauna have been identified through ecological studies to date as outlined in Chapter 21 (Document Reference APP-076). No management is anticipated to be required at present. However, if this situation changes prior to pre-commencement/ enabling works then the EMP will be updated accordingly.

3.4.3 Preventing Spread of Disease

3.4.3.1 Preventing the Spread of Disease in Plants

88. The measures outlined above in relation to prevention of the spread of non-native plants are also of use in preventing the spread of diseases, such as ash die back and sweet chestnut blight, which are caused by the fungi *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* and *Cryphonectria parasitica* respectively.

89. In relation to habitat creation and tree planting works, the Forestry Commission's industry guidance¹ is of relevance, with further guidance on biosecurity provided for industry professionals². This guidance relates to the cleaning of kit (clothing, equipment, and machinery) and transport machinery with measures as set out previously under Section 3.4.2, but also extends to the responsible sourcing of planting stock.

90. With regard to planting stock, the following measures will be implemented:

- Stock will be responsibly sourced through a supplier that adheres to national standards such as the Plant Health Management Standard (Plant Health Biosecurity Steering Group, 2019);
- Planting stock and landscaping materials will be sourced from a pest and disease-free area of the country; and,
- Any suspected pests or diseases will be reported to Plant Health Controls at DEFRA/APHA.

¹ Forestry Commission (2023) *Tree Planting and Woodland Creation: Overview*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/tree-planting-and-woodland-creation-overview>

² Forestry Commission and Animal and Plant Health Agency (2023) *How Biosecurity Can Prevent the Introduction and Spread of Tree Pests and Diseases*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/prevent-the-introduction-and-spread-of-tree-pests-and-diseases#industry-professionals>

3.4.3.2 Preventing the Spread of Disease in Animals

91. Badgers have been recorded within the Order Limits and possible transmission of bovine tuberculosis (bTB) within badger/between badgers and cattle is well documented. While cattle farming is not extensively practiced within the Order Limits, a recent search conducted on the online ibTB bovine mapping resource (ibTB Website) has revealed a number of cases of bTB within herds located approximately 10km from the Order Limits. Among the nearest locations are Sandilands, near Sutton on Sea, and Scrub Hill, situated northwest of Boston. Therefore, it is possible that badger clans within the Order Limits could carry bTB, and precautionary measures will be adopted to prevent spread. An updated search of the ibTB mapping will be undertaken to identify whether there are any outbreaks within the Order Limits that could require special measures to be set out within the EMP.
92. Advice provided by the APHA (UK Government Website^c) with regard to protecting cattle against TB is relevant to prevent spread in badgers, particularly in respect to the cleaning and disinfecting of PPE, equipment and machinery/vehicles. Cleaning and disinfecting to prevent bTB spread, is very similar to that described in Section 3.4.2, but requires the use of an approved³ disinfectant. Further, personnel will avoid walking through areas that have been treated with slurry in the last 60 days, and will avoid farmyards, feeding areas and other places where livestock may be present.
93. Amphibians have been recorded within the Order Limits and this group are vulnerable to disease caused by Chytrid fungus (ARG UK, 2017). To limit the spread of this fungus and other potential diseases, work near to, or within all waterbodies, including ditches, rivers, ponds and lakes, will be kept to a minimum. The measures referred to in Section 3.4.2 will also be adhered to. Guidance is also available from the GB Non-Native Species Secretariat (NNSS Website).

3.4.4 Biodiversity Awareness Training

94. Provision will be made in the LMP and EMP for biodiversity awareness training. Training will be offered to employees and contractors to ensure unnecessary impacts on biodiversity are avoided during both the construction and operational phases of the Project. Training will include both induction packs and toolbox talks and will equip site staff with a basic knowledge of biodiversity, risks to ecology and best practice working methods that must be adopted to protect and enhance biodiversity.

³ A list of approved disinfectants is available online at http://disinfectants.defra.gov.uk/DisinfectantsExternal/Default.aspx?Module=ApprovalsList_SI
Outline Landscape and Ecological Management
Strategy
Document Reference: 8.10

3.4.4.1 Induction Packs

95. Both employees and contractors will have access to induction packs that include essential information on local biodiversity, the specific threats it faces, and the importance of conserving it. These packs will serve as a foundational resource to ensure that all personnel understand their role in the protection of ecological features from the outset.

3.4.4.2 Tool Box Talks

96. The ECoW will conduct toolbox talks to reinforce the principles outlined in the induction packs. These discussions will offer practical guidance on best practices for working methods aimed at minimizing ecological disturbance. Additionally, tailored toolbox talks will be provided as needed for specific protected species, such as the great crested newt.

3.5 Pre-commencement Surveys

97. Due to the time that may have elapsed since the last surveys and the possibility that species presence or activity could have changed in the intervening period, pre-commencement surveys would be undertaken for a number of species/species groups, including INNS. According to CIEEM guidelines (2019) survey data older than 12-18 months is unlikely to be considered valid. The results of the pre-commencement surveys would be used to identify whether any updates to the measures proposed in Sections 3.6 – 3.9 or additional mitigation measures are required and the EMP would be updated to reflect the survey results, as required.

98. Surveys will include those for certain species which, based on current information, will not be affected by the proposed development (and are therefore not subject to the mitigation and compensation measures set out in this document), but which could potentially (re)colonise the area within the Order Limits prior to construction commencing. Surveys will also be undertaken on those areas that have not yet been surveyed (primarily due to access constraints).

99. An ECoW (see Section 3.3) will be appointed to oversee the pre-commencement surveys.

100. Table 5 provides further details of the surveys that would be required prior to construction, including details of proposed survey areas (focussing on the areas likely to be affected by the works), timings and methodologies. All surveys would be undertaken by suitably experienced/ licensed ecologists who are members of an appropriate professional body, e.g. CIEEM.

Table 5 Pre-Commencement Surveys

Species/ Group	Survey Area	Survey Timing	Survey Methods
General ecology walkover	All working areas within the Order Limits	May to September during the season prior to	General walkover to identify any new ecological constraints and to be cross

Species/ Group	Survey Area	Survey Timing	Survey Methods
Notable plant species	Order Limits, focussing on directly impacted suitable habitats	construction commencing.	referenced with previous survey results
INNS	Order Limits, focussing on waterbodies.	May to September during the season prior to construction commencing.	Inspection of watercourses for presence of New Zealand Pygmyweed and other invasive plants.
Amphibians (GCN)	Decoy Wood waterbodies and ditches 20262, 20263 and 20273 near segment ECC 6 Additional ditches may also require surveying to inform a potential GCN licence. For example, repeat attempts to gain access to previously inaccessible land will be made where suitable aquatic habitat will be impacted.	April 15 th – June 30 th (eDNA survey) and mid-March to mid-June for population surveys, during the season prior to construction commencing.	eDNA survey to be carried out in accordance with standard methods (Biggs <i>et al.</i> , 2014) Population survey (if required) undertaken in accordance with English Nature (2001).
Birds	Order Limits + 400m buffer	For breeding birds, April to July during the season prior to construction commencing.	Breeding Bird Surveys (4 visits) Annex 1 and Schedule 1 Species Surveys Barn Owl Surveys Survey to follow standard methods, as specified by Gilbert <i>et al.</i> (1998) and Shawyer (2011).
Bats	Order Limits + 25m	May to September during the season prior to construction commencing.	In accordance with good practice, currently Collins, J (ed) (2023), to include tree-climbing inspections where impacts on potential tree roosts are predicted.
Badger	All terrestrial habitats within 20 m of the Order Limits.	3-6 months prior to construction commencing.	In accordance with Harris, S. <i>et al</i> (1989).

Species/ Group	Survey Area	Survey Timing	Survey Methods
Otter	All water courses which may be directly affected within or immediately adjacent to the Order Limits (250 m upstream/downstream of Order Limits)	3-6 months prior to construction commencing	In accordance with Chanin (2003).
Water vole	All water courses which may be directly affected within or immediately adjacent to the Order Limits (200 m upstream/downstream of Order Limits)	April to September during the season prior to construction commencing	In accordance with Dean <i>et al.</i> (2016).

3.6 Protection of Retained Habitats

3.6.1 Habitats of Principal Importance and Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Habitats

101. Habitats of principal importance and Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Habitats within the Order Limits include coastal saltmarsh, intertidal mudflats, coastal and floodplain grazing marsh, reedbeds, coastal sand dunes, arable field margins and hedgerows. Embedded mitigation ensures that, with the exception of arable field margins and hedgerows, all habitats of principal importance are crossed using trenchless techniques and will therefore be retained.
102. Where arable field margins and hedgerows are retained these will be protected via mitigation outlined in Section 3.6.21.1 below.

3.6.1.1 Hedgerows and Ecological Arable Field Margins

103. A total of 73 hedgerows exist within the Order Limits. Direct impacts on the majority of hedgerows, including the three 'Important' hedgerows (assessed under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997) will be avoided through deployment of trenchless techniques.
104. A total of 84.80 ha of c1a and 0.44ha of c1a5 exist within the Order Limits, with 79.94 ha to be retained. Pre-commencement surveys will be undertaken to ascertain the presence, if any, of important arable weeds within areas of c1a and c1a5 to be either temporarily or permanently affected by the Project.

105. Exclusion zones would be established around ecologically valuable retained habitats, including hedgerows and important ecological arable field margins, at an offset of at least 5m, where practicable, to prevent negative impacts arising from enabling and construction activities. These exclusion zones would be demarcated with fencing, tape and signage, as appropriate to prevent ingress by the construction activity in the vicinity and maintained until the completion of the construction phase. Any fencing would be designed to ensure retained habitats would remain accessible to dependent species throughout the construction phase. The ECoW would work with the Site Manager to agree the alignment and specification for exclusion fencing in any given area, and to ensure it is installed prior to the commencement of construction in that area.
106. The location and type of all protective and exclusion fencing will be specified in the EMP.
107. At present, there are only two locations where a 5m exclusion zone is not practicable. These are at CIC21 and CIC22, where the exclusion zone will be reduced to 2m along Hedgerow 186 to the east of the Order Limits. However, at the western side of the CIC21 and CIC22 boundaries, a 5m standoff can be achieved from Hedgerow 1977.

3.6.2 Other Habitats

3.6.2.1 Rivers and Wetland

108. There are 408 ditches within the Order Limits. Of these, 73 ditches lie within the trenchless zones and 335 are situated within working areas. A minimum of 73 ditches will be retained as a result of using trenchless techniques, with those ditches in trenched zones and other working areas retained wherever practicable and the layout of the construction site allows it. Where ditches are to be retained, exclusion zones as outlined in within this section will be applied.

109. Embedded mitigation would ensure that impacts upon main rivers and Internal Drainage Board (IDB) owned /managed drains would be avoided through the use of trenchless techniques during construction. However, some minor watercourses and drainage ditches would be crossed by trenched techniques. Wherever practicable, buffer zones surrounding retained ponds and watercourses would be at least 10 m in width in line with Pollution Prevention Guidelines: Works and Maintenance in or near Water: PPG5 (Environment Alliance, 2007). Exclusion zones would be established around ecologically valuable retained habitats, such as hedgerows, arable field margins and ditches, at an offset sufficient to prevent negative impacts arising from enabling and construction activities. These exclusion zones would be demarcated with fencing, tape and signage, as appropriate to prevent ingress by the construction activity in the vicinity and maintained until the completion of the construction phase. Any fencing would be designed to ensure retained habitats would remain accessible to dependent species throughout the construction phase. The ECoW would work with the Site Manager to agree the alignment and specification for exclusion fencing in any given area, and to ensure it is installed prior to the commencement of construction in that area. The location and type of all protective and exclusion fencing will be specified in the EMP.
110. Best practice sediment management and pollution prevention measures will be employed when working adjacent to watercourses and are provided in the Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268).
111. Good site hygiene measures will be adhered to at all times, by all personnel, including ecologists and other workers. The principle of Check-Clean-Dry will be followed by anyone working close to or within water. Prior to use all equipment will be disinfected using a suitable disinfectant (such as Virkon) to ensure there is not potential for the transfer of pathogens from other sites. All surveyors will clean, disinfect and dry their waders / wellingtons prior to accessing the site and will ensure footwear is re-cleaned / disinfected when leaving the site.

3.6.2.2 Trees

112. There are 146 trees within the Order Limits, of which 52 are situated within areas proposed for temporary or permanent works, with the remainder assumed to be retained via project alignment in the detailed design.
113. In order to mitigate the risk of loss of, or damage to veteran trees, the detailed design of the Project will seek to avoid boundary features wherever possible. Any tree that cannot be retained will be subject to pre-commencement surveys to assess if ancient or veteran or not. Appropriate mitigation and compensation for any losses of veteran or ancient trees will be developed in line with Natural England's standing advice (Natural England, 2022a) and agreed with relevant stakeholders.

114. As part of the pre-commencement surveys, any veteran or ancient trees would be identified. The Root Protection Areas (RPAs) of all retained trees and woodland would be determined by arboriculture survey. The outer extent of the RPA would be demarcated, prior to commencement of works, by fencing of a specification capable of excluding construction machinery, equipment and personnel from these areas. Differing types of fencing may be installed across the Project depending on the type of works planned in the vicinity of the retained trees or woodland. For example, standard stock fencing may be sufficient to protect RPAs in areas where only pedestrian traffic is expected, however more robust fencing may be required where heavy plant/vehicles will be used.

3.7 Mitigation Strategies for Species

3.7.1 Notable Plant Species

115. Notable plant species have been recorded in association with designated sites. As a precaution, pre-commencement botanical survey will be undertaken during the summer prior to work commencing to determine the presence of notable or protected plant species in areas that would be directly affected by construction (see Section 3.5). The results will be used to identify areas which should be prioritised for salvage or other special measures, the details of which would be included in the EMP.
116. The exact mitigation/compensation method would be dependent on the species and habitat concerned but may include seed saving and propagation or translocation of individual plants.

3.7.2 Eel

117. The aquatic habitats within the Order Limits are considered to be of low value to species of fish in general. However, the possibility of migrating eel through the Order Limits, along the River Welland and The Haven, cannot be ruled out.
118. The detailed design of the trenchless cable installation will be further refined at contract award, and therefore to mitigate impacts arising from any changes, an updated fish survey will be undertaken (if required), and specific mitigation measures in the EMP updated (where required) and agreed with relevant stakeholders.

3.7.3 Amphibians

3.7.3.1 Great Crested Newt

119. Desk study and field survey results suggest that there may be two metapopulations of GCN within 250 m of the Order Limits. These are close to ECC 3 and ECC 6, with ECC 3 including pond WM_P42 which returned a positive eDNA result. The two metapopulations may be impacted by:
- Temporary loss of terrestrial habitats – pond WM_P42 is located c.100m east of the Order Limits;

- Temporary habitat fragmentation/isolation, resulting in functional loss of terrestrial habitat and breeding ponds;
- Accidental killing and injury; and,
- Accidental pollution to breeding ponds from diffuse or point sources associated with construction.

120. Embedded mitigation for impacts to GCN is via project siting and design. The embedded measures which are pertinent to GCN include retention of all ponds, with trees and hedgerows retained wherever practicable. Additional key principles that will be followed in order to mitigate for impacts are described below.

Licensing

121. A derogation licence in respect of GCN may be required for works within 250m of the two metapopulations identified. The detailed design will be reviewed, and GCN survey updated as necessary, to fully assess whether a GCN European protected species licence (EPSL) is required. Where impacts on GCN cannot be avoided by other means, a licence will be obtained from NE in advance of works. There are three different licences that may be suitable, depending on the scale of the predicted impacts: a mitigation licence (NE A14), registration under the Low Impact Licence (NE WML-CL33) or district level licensing, which is not currently available in Lincolnshire. Depending on the licensable activities, mitigation measures would likely be limited to destructive searches, staged vegetation clearance and provision of artificial refugia. It is not predicted that amphibian exclusion fencing, and capture and translocation would be required because of the small areas of habitats and likely low numbers of individuals affected. The creation of new ponds in compensation for impacts is not predicted to be necessary as no GCN-positive ponds are impacted by the proposed scheme. Compensation measures for impacts to terrestrial habitat can readily be accommodated within works activities and provided within the Order Limits.

122. In the event an EPSL were required, it would include the following:

- Details of compensation for temporary loss of terrestrial habitat and temporary loss of potential aquatic habitat (ditches 625, 626 and 629 near segment ECC 3 and ditches 20262, 20263 and 20273 near segment ECC 6);
- Scheduling of certain work to avoid sensitive periods of the GCN life cycle (e.g., refugia would be removed during the GCN active season, not during the hibernation period);
- All work with potential to affect GCN would be overseen by the named ecologist on the appropriate licence or their agent (or by the Project appointed ECoW);
- The ECoW would provide a toolbox talk to site workers in advance of work with potential to affect GCN. This would detail the potential presence of GCN, their identification and what to do if one is seen, and outline all the measures included in the licence Method Statement;
- Minimising the risk of injury or death as a result of entrapment in the site drainage scheme; and,

- If using an EPSL, the removal of GCN (and other amphibians) from areas where there is risk of injury or death. Translocated GCN would be moved to the nearest suitable habitat that would remain undisturbed during construction.

123. Reasonable avoidance measures for GCN and other amphibians are set out in the reasonable avoidance measures section below.

3.7.3.2 Toads and Smooth Newt

124. Common toad and smooth newt are likely to be present in surface water features and suitable terrestrial habitats, such as ditches, ponds, grasslands and arable field margins, where these occur throughout the Order Limits. Therefore, there is the potential for killing or injury of individual amphibians. The use of reasonable avoidance measures (RAMs) will be adopted to mitigate impacts to amphibians during vegetation clearance and site preparation works as set out in the reasonable avoidance measures below.

Mitigation

125. RAMs will be adopted to mitigate impacts to amphibians during vegetation clearance and site preparation works.
126. The management of vegetation (by strimming or flailing) and removal of potential refugia should only be undertaken during the amphibian active period of February to July; with works outside of this period would be subject to a fingertip search under supervision of the ECoW; and therefore may need to be carried out well in advance of construction in areas where work is scheduled to commence during the winter months. Once vegetation has been cut it should be kept to ground level to discourage amphibians from entering the working areas. At least 24-hours would be left between vegetation management and construction works commencing in affected areas.
127. Vegetation would be subject to a two-stage cut, whereby a first cut to c.300mm from ground level is undertaken, this should then be fingertip searched by the ECoW prior to the second cut to ground level.
128. Clearance should be undertaken in a directional manner, towards other areas of suitable habitat.
129. Uprooting of vegetation and clearance of habitat that has potential value to hibernating amphibians would be undertaken prior to the commencement of the hibernation period (November to March) to deter amphibians from hibernating in the area.
130. The deconstruction of wet ditches would occur outside the breeding season for amphibians (February to July inclusive) unless otherwise agreed by the ECoW.
131. In the event that an amphibian is discovered whilst undertaking RAMs, or during construction, the ECoW will be contacted immediately for best practice advice.

132. Complex mitigation/compensation methods such as new ponds would not be required (as no ponds are impacted), and temporary mitigation/compensation measures will be accommodated within works activities and provided within the Order Limits and as close as possible to the areas lost.
133. Compensation for temporary loss of amphibian foraging areas near to segments ECC 3 and ECC 6 would be provided where practical within the Order Limits and as close as possible to the areas lost. This is anticipated to comprise a temporary relaxation of/changes to agricultural grassland management, to enable a more diverse and less intensively managed sward to develop. Depending on the location, additional seeding of grassland/tall herbs may be used to ensure sufficient resource remains. Cut vegetation (such as arisings from scrub removal) would be used to create brush piles for use by sheltering amphibians.
134. Opportunities for enhancement and creation of terrestrial habitats exist at both the OnSS and the surrounding proposed landscape screening in segment ECC 13, illustrated in Figure 2). Subject to detailed design and agreement from landowners, this could include the management of habitat specifically for amphibians, along with the creation of refugia, wider and more species rich field margins, and an increase in the network of wildlife corridors for amphibian movement. Any enhancement measures would be included as part of the detailed project design and secured within the EMP.
135. Enhancement opportunities will be explored in segments ECC 3 and ECC 6 (Figure 3.3 (APP-089)), where the two GCN metapopulations exist. A considered approach to the enhancement of habitats within these segments could also be of benefit to reptiles.

3.7.4 Reptiles

136. No permanent impacts are predicted for any area identified as having good or exceptional reptile habitat quality. The ECoW would ensure reptile mitigation strategies are put in place for Areas 4, 14, 16, 19, 20, 26, 27, 32, 37 and 39 (see Figure 21.6.1 of Appendix 21.6 (Document Reference APP-195)) where temporary habitat loss would occur.
137. It is possible that reptiles may also be encountered at other distinct areas of suitable habitat within the Order Limits (but outside of the areas mentioned above) including rough grass, field boundaries, scrub and hedgerows. In this instance, the RAMs provided for amphibians would also be employed for reptiles.

138. Pre-commencement surveys will be undertaken to refine the RAMs and reptile mitigation strategies accordingly. The necessity and extent of pre-commencement survey requirements, along with the imperative for effective mitigation, management, and monitoring, will be aligned with Natural England's Standing Advice for reptiles (Natural England, 2022). The Project's approach will prioritise avoidance of suitable reptile habitats wherever feasible during the detailed design phase. In instances where habitat loss or disturbance is unavoidable, work will be carried out collaboratively with the relevant local planning authority to establish comprehensive mitigation or compensation measures. These measures will be formulated in accordance with established best practices as outlined in the Reptile Habitat Management Handbook (Edgar, Foster and Baker, 2010).
139. Any additional measures required to minimise impacts to reptiles and their habitats, will be detailed in the EMP.

Mitigation

140. RAMs will be adopted to mitigate impacts to reptiles during vegetation clearance and site preparation works in areas identified as having good or exceptional reptile habitat, for example CIC 91 and 92 in Area 16. Measures would be similar to those employed for GCN, and would include destructive searches of potential refugia, staged vegetation clearance to persuade reptiles to move out of areas to be affected by construction activities and supervised topsoil strip as appropriate. Any refugia with hibernation potential would be taken apart during the active season, to avoid disturbing hibernating reptiles.
141. Where the haul road results in isolation effects, as predicted for Area 4, routes to enable the safe passage of reptiles (particularly common lizards and slow worm) across the road will be incorporated. Such measures could include pipes or 'Newt Grids' that pass beneath the haul road, connecting habitats on either side.
142. Temporary compensation for the loss of shelter habitat will be provided by the creation of artificial refugia located within the Order Limits in areas that are well connected to reptile habitat in the wider area and that are undisturbed by construction activity. Artificial refugia will be created before vegetation clearance and destructive searches begin in any given area.

3.7.5 Birds

3.7.5.1 Protection of Nesting Birds

143. Removal of vegetation will take place outside of the breeding season (considered to be March – August inclusive) wherever possible. Where that is not possible in discrete areas, a check for the presence of nesting birds by the ECoW will take place in advance of work. Where active nests are located, the relevant areas of vegetation will be retained until such time as young fully fledge, or the nesting attempt has ended.

144. In order to protect ground nesting birds which may choose to nest in short vegetation or bare ground, such areas will be checked for the presence of nests by the ECoW prior to works commencing during the breeding bird season. Where an active nest is located, an appropriate stand-off zone as determined by the ECoW will be demarcated and avoided until it has been confirmed by the ECoW that the nesting attempt has ended.
145. Nesting bird deterrent measures will be deployed in advance of the nesting season in large open fields (>5ha) as deemed appropriate by the ECoW to minimise the risk of ground nesting birds choosing to nest in the relevant areas. These will not be deployed in February in locations where aggregations of >50 individuals of geese and/ or waders are known to occur. Alternatively, and preferably, autumn sown cereal crops will be used to reduce numbers of nesting birds within the construction corridor in areas where notable aggregations of geese and/ or waders are known to occur.

3.7.5.2 Protection of Schedule 1 Nesting Birds from Disturbance

146. Species listed in Schedule 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 are afforded legal protection from disturbance at the nest site, as well as protection of dependent young. Surveys would therefore take place during each breeding season in which construction occurs to identify the approximate locations of nesting Schedule 1 birds and to review the mitigation measures to ensure they are sufficient to avoid disturbance. Surveys for other priority species, which could be significantly disturbed by construction works such as breeding waders, would also be undertaken prior to construction commencing.
147. The nest site data from the local barn owl group will be reviewed pre-commencement, alongside pre-works barn owl surveys, to identify current nest sites within the potential zone of influence of the project and to review and develop mitigation measures to ensure adherence to the legal protection of the species as a Schedule 1 listed bird. Where a nest site is deemed at risk of disturbance, then it may be necessary to close off access to that box temporarily prior to the nesting season and reopen it after completion of works. Should that be necessary, it would be conducted in liaison with the relevant landowner and barn owl conservation group, and an alternative box would be erected nearby outwith the ZOI in advance of capping the box.

3.7.5.3 Minimising Disturbance to Non-Breeding Birds within SPAs and Ramsar Sites

148. ODOW has committed to avoiding any construction activity within a minimum of 400m of The Wash SPA and Ramsar (relevant to The Haven crossing), during the period of October to March inclusive. This will avoid disturbance impacts to non-breeding birds within those designated sites' boundaries. The Wash SPA and Ramsar is located 180m from the onshore Order Limits at the closest point.
149. The restricted area will extend from Wyberton Road up to the field boundary east of Southfield Lane, as shown in Figure 22.4 of ES Chapter 22 Onshore Ornithology (Document Reference APP-113).

150. The Boston Alternative Energy Facility (BAEF) project was granted development consent in July 2023 and includes a requirement for compensatory measures. The proposed compensatory measures include the creation of the Wyberton Roads (South) compensation site to mitigate against adverse effects on the integrity of The Wash SPA and Ramsar. This will consist of creation of grassland and wetland habitat from existing arable land to offset disturbance displacement of waterbirds from the River Haven. Should the BAEF Wyberton Roads (South) compensation site be completed in advance of, or during, the construction phase for the Project, there will be a seasonal restriction (November to February inclusive) to construction works⁴ within 400m of that compensation site, as shown in Figure 22.4 of Chapter 22 (Document Reference APP-113). In the event that the BAEF Wyberton Roads (South) compensation site is only completed during the construction phase for the Project, then construction works already underway at the point of completion would be allowed to continue. The Applicant will continue to engage with the BAEF project throughout construction.

3.7.5.4 Minimising Disturbance to Non-Breeding Waterbirds and Breeding Schedule 1 birds within Anderby Marsh LWT Reserve

151. The landfall construction area will be set back a minimum of 80m from the Anderby Marsh Local Wildlife Trust (LWT) Reserve. A 4m high earth bund will be constructed on three sides surrounding HDD works area to screen works from Anderby Marsh (additional to the existing Roman Bank landscape feature). This is illustrated in Plate 26.3 of Appendix 26.4 (Document Reference APP-217). At the conclusion of the construction phase, the earth bund will be removed. During its presence, however, it will be seeded with a mix of wildflower seeds.
152. The 4m high earth bund will also provide a screen to Wolla Bank Reedbed LWT Reserve which is located approximately 200m to the south-east of the construction compound.
153. Where piling is required for the landfall works, rotary and silent piling methods rather than impact piling will be adopted. Noisier plant will be located at the western end of the compound wherever possible.
154. Construction of the bund, will be undertaken within the months of August/September between the core breeding and non-breeding seasons. March will be avoided for constructing the mitigation bund at the landfall. However, ODOW will focus on completing the 'soft start' works during this period. These preparatory works, which include ground preparation, land drainage, fencing, signage, access haul road, material storage, and establishment of laydown for welfare, are crucial for ensuring a smooth start to the Bund work.

⁴ Not including construction vehicle movements.

3.7.5.5 Minimising Disturbance to Non-Breeding Waterbirds using (potentially) Functionally Linked Land (FLL)

Embedded

155. There will be a perimeter subsoil and topsoil bund, of approximately 1.5m height, at either side of the open trenched sections which will provide a degree of visual and acoustic screening between those works and the surrounding landscape. This is shown in Plate 7.2 of Chapter 3 (Document Reference APP-058).
156. No impact piling will be used for trenchless crossings; silent/rotary piling will be utilised at the landfall HDD, with vibratory sheet piling at the CICs to facilitate the trenchless crossings along the onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor where required.

Seasonal Restriction

157. The additional mitigation for The Wash SPA and Ramsar, comprising a seasonal restriction to construction activity, to avoid works during the period of October to March inclusive within 400m of The Wash SPA, will reduce the potential disturbance impact to this species. Additionally, the seasonal restriction will be extended to cover the identified brent goose foraging areas adjacent to The Haven, as shown in Volume 2, Figure 22.4 (document reference APP-113).
158. Year 1 surveys recorded dark-bellied brent goose from the Order Limits plus 400m buffer predominantly from November through to March, with lower numbers in October. WeBS data from those sectors overlapping with or close to the Order Limits, for dark-bellied brent goose, shows peak numbers in January and low abundances in other months (sector counts of 40 or less). This indicates that a seasonal restriction for this species of November to March inclusive would be appropriate, which is within the October to March restriction for this area.
159. Data from the additional visit in April 2024 indicates that dark-bellied brent geese are still present at the River Haven at a notable abundance in this month and therefore works within 400m of the Haven, as illustrated in Figure 52 of the Winter Bird Survey 2023/24 Addendum (document reference AS1-108), during April will be limited to soft start works. Soft start works in April will entail site preparations and establishment of the haul road and work areas. No drilling will take place in April. Visual screening will be installed in the seasonally restricted area around The Haven in April in order to minimise potential visual disturbance arising from soft start works.
160. Within the October to March seasonally restricted area works would be limited to vegetation clearance and maintenance, in order to avoid clearance during the nesting bird season and to minimise the risk of birds establishing nests within the working area. The Applicant commits to employing an Ecological Clerk of Works (ECow) to undertake a survey for brent geese within the seasonally restricted area prior to vegetation clearance works commencing in a discreet area. No clearance works will commence whilst brent geese are present within 400m of the area to be cleared. Once clearance works have commenced, they will continue until works have been completed in that location.

161. Usual agricultural operations will continue in the seasonally restricted area. Essential non-intrusive survey works would also be permitted within the seasonally restricted periods.

Localised Working

162. For conventional cross-country construction methodologies involving soil handling, the primary construction period is March – October. During November to February period, works will continue at trenchless crossing sites and joint bays that can be accessed by temporary haul roads and hard-standings, as described in paragraph 167. No trenched excavation works for duct installation⁵ for the onshore ECC⁶ will be undertaken throughout November – February.
163. In order to minimise the potential for disturbance, and provide even greater certainty to the conclusions, additional mitigation has been included in the form of a commitment to localised working.
164. During the summer months (April to September inclusive, weather dependent), works will take place at between 20 to 30 locations at any time, or approximately 5% of the cable corridor. During October and March, summer works will progressively be completed/ started and transitioned between summer and winter working.
165. Areas where works are not due to take place that year will be left un-stripped (with exception for the haul road (if required)). Trenching for duct installation across farmland will be carried out between March and October and will be followed by ‘partial land reinstatement’ involving reinstating the topsoil where practicable, leaving only the haul road, where this is required. Where practical, following partial reinstatement the project will plant a cover crop until the point at which the landowner is ready to start the normal cropping rotation. The intention is to return land to agriculture as soon as possible.
166. The ‘where practical’ in this instance refers to the fact that in some circumstances the Project may be in the position that the land can be handed back to the landowner to continue agricultural practices earlier than anticipated in which case there will be no opportunity plant a cover crop. Under this circumstance these areas of land are being reinstated to previous use and this habitat is no longer impacted. Where a cover crop is required; this will be in the form of a grass or clover mix variety which will be confirmed following the Applicants pre-commencement soil surveys and in line with the Outline Soil Management Plan (document 8.1.3, Version 2).

⁵ Works will include emergency response (fencing/trench failures)/general maintenance (de watering etc)/security.

⁶ Not including the construction works for the OnSS

167. Winter works will be localised and will be carried out by several small teams at discrete locations along the route, such as joint bays, link boxes, trenchless crossings, short sections of haul road, bellmouths and access, cable installation (pulling) and other non-intrusive earth works (e.g. cable testing, route maintenance). Assuming a works section of 100m at these sites and 10 active sites, this would account for approximately 1,000m of works or 1km out of 70km or 1.4% of the cable corridor at any one time. Activity on the remaining 98.6% of the corridor will be confined to the operatives taking daily access to the work site where this involves the use of a haul road and moving the drilling plant to the next site once the work at any location is complete.

General

168. Disturbance to non-breeding waterbirds is likely to be most critical during periods of prolonged cold weather, when they may be unable to feed in their usual foraging areas and may face reduced prospects for survival. A scheme is in place to minimise the level of disturbance from wildfowl shooting in frozen conditions (JNCC, 2019). Similar measures would be imposed here, with the works suspended after seven consecutive days on which the ground was frozen (as measured at a nearby weather station). Any suspension of works would last for a minimum of seven days (or, as agreed by the ECoW), thereafter and any lifting of the suspension will take into consideration the need for a period of recovery for waterbirds after the end of the severe weather itself.

3.7.5.6 Minimising Temporary Loss of Arable Habitat

Timing of Agricultural Land Reinstatement

169. Areas where works are not due to take place that year will be left un-stripped outside of haul road installation (where required). Trenching for duct installation across farmland will be followed by 'partial land reinstatement' involving reinstating the topsoil where practicable (in line with the Outline Soil Management Plan (document 8.1.3, Version 2), leaving only the haul road, where this is required. Where practicable, following partial reinstatement the project will plant a cover crop until the point at which the landowner is ready to start the normal cropping rotation. The intention is to return land to agriculture as soon as practicable.
170. A timeline for reinstatement of agricultural land is provided below. The timeline is indicative only and may be subject to change as follows:
171. Winter Year 0 (prior to mobilisation) – Localised vegetation clearance only and enabling works at some access locations.
172. Winter Year 1 – 35% stripped, with 3-5% (of whole corridor) partially reinstated.
173. Winter Year 2 – 70% stripped, 40% (of whole corridor) has been partially reinstated.
174. Winter Year 3 – 70% stripped (as 30% un-stripped as avoided through Trenchless Techniques), 80% of which fully reinstated to previous agricultural use.
175. Winter Year 4 – 100% fully reinstated to previous agricultural use.

176. The cover crop habitat will be retained and managed for the duration of the construction period, until such time as it is restored to the previous land use.

Severed Land

177. Opportunities will be explored to utilise severed land to provide compensatory habitat for skylark and yellow wagtail in sections of fields adjacent to, or near to the Order Limits, subject to agreements with landowners. Where viable, suitable habitat will be created immediately prior to construction commencement and will be retained for the duration of construction at each specific location. Management options will take into consideration guidance on the RSPB Website (RSPBa and RSPBb) and Farm Wildlife (2024). These will include a mixture of:
- Fallow land – to provide high quality foraging habitat; and/ or,
 - Suitable cover crop – to provide feeding habitat.
178. Use of broad-spectrum insecticides would be avoided in these locations. It is recognised that land close to field boundaries, particularly those with tall vegetation, would be more likely to be avoided due to predation risk. For example, guidance suggests that skylark plots should be at least 24m from the field edge (RSPBb) and ideally >80m (Farm Wildlife, 2024).
179. The area of compensation land >24m from a field edge comprising hedgerow, scrub, woodland, or existing built linear feature (fence line or wall) is anticipated to be up to 31 ha and the area which is >80m is up to 11 ha, subject to agreements with landowners. The total area subject to management is anticipated to be up to 65 ha, spread along the route of the onshore ECC and 400kV cable route, subject to agreements with landowners. The areas identified as severed land (potential compensation areas) are shown in Figure 22.5 (Document Reference AS1-060).
180. In summary, the range of mitigation measures presented above have been designed to address potential impacts to those species which are qualifying features of identified SPA/Ramsar sites, which have been recorded within the survey area, utilising land which is potentially functionally linked to the designated sites. Therefore, a separate outline Annex 1 species (including pink-footed goose) management plan is not considered to be necessary, as the relevant measures have been presented herein.

3.7.6 Bats

3.7.6.1 Roosting Bats

181. Embedded mitigation for impacts to bats is via project siting and design, which has ensured that there are no buildings within the Order Limits. Surveys have not identified any bat roosts within any trees inside the Order Limits and no roosts have been identified within trees or buildings within 25m of the Order Limits.

182. Pre-commencement surveys will be conducted on any trees prior to their removal, informed by the latest bat survey guidelines (Section 3.5). Appropriate measures such as acoustic fencing and restricted timings of works will be implemented to ensure there are no indirect impacts on bat roosts in proximity to the Order Limits.

Licensing

183. At the time of writing, an EPS licence is not considered to be necessary. However, re-assessment of EPSL requirements will be undertaken based upon pre-commencement survey results and final scheme design. If required, an EPSL application would be submitted to Natural England (NE) in advance of work affecting bat roosts.

Mitigation and Compensation Measures

184. Compensation roost features will be provided for every potential roost feature (as identified by the pre-commencement surveys) affected prior to loss. This compensation measure applies regardless of whether a confirmed roost is affected. The compensation roost features will aim to provide a functionally equivalent potential roost resource and may include re-use of cavity containing sections, re-use of whole felled trunks by setting vertically as monoliths, veteranisation (cutting and carving into healthy trees to mimic nature, to speed the process of decay and rot holes) and/or bat boxes on retained trees or installed poles, as appropriate.
185. Compensation features will be installed as close as possible to those lost, whilst also addressing other constraints, such as the requirement to be within an unlit area, ideally away from Public Rights of Way (PRoW) and within or close to potential flight lines. In all cases the compensation measures for confirmed roost loss would be within the Core Sustenance Zone of the species concerned. Core Sustenance Zones are defined as “the area surrounding a communal bat roost within which habitat availability and quality will have a significant influence on the resilience and conservation status of the colony using the roost” (Collins, 2023).
186. Subject to the timing of pre-commencement survey, re-scoping (pre-felling check) will be undertaken at the point of felling. Due to natural decay processes and weather damage, historic data will not be used as a basis for final decision making in respect of felling: all trees will be scoped, or re-scoped (ground-level assessment only) by a suitably experienced ECoW prior to felling. Thereafter the following measures will be taken:
187. Potential Roost Feature (PRF) absent – trees may be felled without additional measures.
188. PRF present – trees subject to an appropriate level of survey following the current BCT guidelines. Surveys will be overseen by a suitably qualified and licensed bat worker immediately prior to felling.
189. If no evidence of bats is recorded and bat absence can be conclusively determined at all PRFs within a tree, then the PRFs may be immediately blocked or removed, and/or the tree can be immediately felled without additional special measures. In this instance PRF filling/removal and/or tree felling may be conducted during all months of the year.

190. If it is not possible to conclude bat absence (such as with long or complex PRF which preclude full endoscope inspection, or if parts of the tree are inaccessible due to fragility) relevant trees plus a minimum buffer of 10 m of the surrounding vegetation (a larger buffer may be required and to be advised by the ECoW) will be left *in situ*. until the bat active season (April – October). Prior to felling, these trees will be subject to an appropriate level of survey following current BCT guidelines. This is likely to include aerial inspections and/ or emergence and re-entry survey, to be overseen by a suitably qualified licensed bat worker. If no evidence of bats is recorded during the process, the parts of the tree containing PRFs will then be soft and/or sectionally felled within 24-hours of the preceding emergence survey, under the direction of the ECoW. Felled cavity-containing sections will be left undisturbed on site for any undiscovered bats to depart.
191. Confirmed Roost – in all cases disturbance to, or felling of roost trees will take place during the period that bats are most likely to be absent or least sensitive to impacts (i.e. in autumn/winter in the case of maternity roosts), and under an EPSL. All work under the EPSL which could result in disturbance of bats would be overseen by the Named Ecologist, or his/her Accredited Agent (such as a suitably skilled and experienced ECoW).

3.7.6.2 Commuting and Foraging Bats

192. The loss of sections of hedgerow along the cable route will impact on bat species that utilise hedgerows as flight lines such as the Pipistrelle, Myotis and Plecotus genus. The total length of hedgerow within the Order Limits is 6,053m. There is predicted to be a permanent loss of 89m of hedgerow in total. The future detailed design will aim to further minimise the need for hedgerow clearance work associated with the access roads, haul road and cable route.

Mitigation

193. Impacts to commuting and foraging bats will be reduced by filling temporary hedgerow gaps overnight during construction (and thereafter) with a “dead hedge” or similar, throughout the year to enable bat passage until such time as reinstated vegetation has established and is at least 1 m tall. These locations shall be identified in the EMP and will be based upon pre-commencement/ survey data plus final scheme design details. The dead hedge will be in place at least one-hour before dusk and will be removed no earlier than 30 mins after dawn (unless EPSL requirements specify otherwise or a temporary exemption has been pre-agreed with the ECoW in view of ongoing construction work that finishes late/starts early). During the day the dead hedge will be either left in-situ (if the hedgerow gap is not needed for access/construction) or carefully placed in a nearby location that is not within the active working area. The location would be agreed with the ECoW and is anticipated to be different for each hedgerow.

194. During construction the “dead hedge” will comprise Heras fencing (or similar, to enable sections to be manoeuvred into/out of position) with brash attached to a height of at least 1.2m. During construction the ECoW will regularly monitor each section of dead hedge and additional brash will be added to each section of Heras fencing if considered needed.
195. Post construction, the “dead hedge” will comprise brash to a height of at least 1.2m, held in place with untreated wooden stakes, and will be allowed to degrade naturally. These would be subject to regular monitoring until the reinstated hedgerow(s) are at least 1m tall. Maintenance and repairs would be undertaken, as required.

Migrating Nathusius

196. Although not confirmed, there is the possibility that recordings of relatively high numbers of Nathusius pipistrelle in ECC 10 in September could be attributed to migration activities.
197. In order to minimise any impacts on migrating Nathusius and other bat species, night-time working will be minimised. Should night-time working be deemed necessary, all lighting will follow a sensitive lighting design as per the IPL and BCT Guidance Note 08/23 and avoid key bat habitat, e.g. hedgerows.

3.7.7 Badger

198. Badger is confirmed to occur throughout the Order Limits, with outlier and main setts both recorded.

3.7.7.1 Mitigation

199. Mitigation for badgers will include pre-commencement surveys, to confirm the status of all setts within 20m of the detailed design of the temporary and permanent works footprint.
200. Reasonable avoidance measures shall be implemented and may include micro-siting certain elements and/or installing protective fencing to minimize disturbance to retained setts, ensuring excavations remain closed overnight or contain ramps such that badgers cannot become trapped and including suitable fencing in agreement with the ECoW so as to deter badger sett creation within it.

3.7.7.2 Licensing

201. If pre-commencement/surveys determine that a badger sett will be affected, then a licence from Natural England will be needed in advance of work that disturbs the sett. Depending on the degree of disturbance, mitigation may be relatively limited such as amending work schedules, or more complex in the event a sett requires closure, in which case creation of an artificial replacement sett in advance may be needed (depending on the type and usage of the original). Any such measures would be discussed and agreed with NE in advance and would form part of the licence Method Statement.

3.7.8 Otter

202. The project is predicted to lead to disturbance and isolation effects on otters commuting along the Wainfleet Relief Channel, watercourses 1621 and 1623 and the River Welland.

3.7.8.1 Mitigation

203. Reasonable avoidance measures for works near to other watercourses will be used to reduce the risk of committing an offence under the protecting legislation.

204. Design of compounds in close proximity to watercourses used by otter will seek to segregate noise and visual disturbance from the watercourse through sympathetic design. A potential solution would be to construct and seed top-soil storage areas (bunds) parallel to the construction compound boundary, to provide more natural looking acoustic and visual screening. Where this is not possible, hoarding could be used to provide visual screening, and/or acoustic fences to prevent disturbance from noise.

205. In cases where the Project temporarily crosses a ditch that is likely to be used by otter, appropriate mitigation measures to encourage the continued use of that ditch by otter will be agreed with the ECoW. Mitigation measures will be tailored to suit the size, water levels and flow rates of each ditch crossed, with details to be provided in a riparian crossing schedule in the EMP.

206. Pre-commencement monitoring of the holt in ECC 10 will be undertaken to establish whether it is a natal holt or not. Measures to avoid disturbance will be adopted such as strategic bund placement, acoustic barriers/ fencing and control of light spill. Where disturbance effects cannot be avoided, an A45 licence will be sought from NE.

3.7.8.2 Licensing

207. Where disturbance effects cannot be avoided, an A45 licence will be sought from NE.

208. If pre-commencement surveys or ECoW pre-clearance checks conclude the species is present and that micro-siting to avoid impact is not possible, then mitigation for temporary habitat loss and disturbance may include:

- scheduling of work to avoid sensitive periods of the otter life cycle;
- deterrence of otter from areas where there is risk of injury or death in advance, such as by installation of otter-proof fencing;
- minimising disturbance from light and human presence via controlled lighting methods, temporary screening and potentially amending working hours; and
- reinstatement of bankside habitats immediately after work, to include sowing with species rich locally appropriate sward and fencing to prevent stock access.

3.7.9 Water Vole

209. Water vole presence has been identified within the Order Limits and the surrounding landscape. Desk study records and field evidence have been collected for water vole presence within the drainage ditch network for all segments, except for ECC 13 and ECC 14.

3.7.9.1 Mitigation

210. Where the haul road crosses watercourses which are utilised by water voles beyond the Order Limits, mitigation measures will be tailored to suit the size, water levels and flow rates of each ditch crossed, with details to be provided in a riparian crossing schedule in the EMP.
211. The detailed design of the haul road and associated culverts will seek to avoid works within 5m of any water vole burrow.
212. Wherever practicable, buffer zones surrounding retained waterbodies will be at least 10m in width in line the Pollution Prevention Guidelines: Works and Maintenance in or near Water: GPP 5 (NetRegs, 2018). (The Pollution Prevention Guidelines (which are progressively being replaced with Guidance for Pollution Prevention (GPPs) provide environmental good practice for the devolved administrations of the UK. Whilst not endorsed by the Environment Agency, the GPPs provide a strong platform for informing best practice and environmental management in areas where there are no direct regulations).
213. Exclusion zones will be established around ecologically valuable retained habitats including ditches, at an offset sufficient to prevent negative arising from enabling and construction activities. These zones will be demarcated with fencing, tape and signage, as appropriate to prevent ingress by the construction activity in the vicinity and maintained until the completion of the construction phase. Any fencing will be designed to ensure retained habitats remain accessible to dependent species throughout the construction phase. The ECoW will work with the Site Manager to agree the alignment and specification for fencing in any given area, and to ensure it is installed prior to the commencement of construction in that area. The location and type of all protective fencing will be specified in the EMP.
214. Where possible impacts to water voles will be avoided either through retaining a buffer between the developments works and suitable habitat or through making slight adjustments to development works to avoid water vole burrows.
215. Where water vole have been recorded, sensitive vegetation clearance along watercourses will occur.
216. Pre-commencement surveys will take place, along with monitoring during and post-construction in line with licensing requirements (Annex A.5).

Pre-commencement Surveys

217. Pre-commencement surveys will be undertaken to confirm the location and activity of water voles at known locations and to identify the location of any new burrow or other field evidence. Surveys will include those ditches in ECC 13 and ECC 14 and those where access to a waterbody has previously been restricted. The results of the surveys will inform detailed construction design, which will follow the mitigation hierarchy. If impacts cannot be avoided on new areas of water vole habitat, suitability of this area for the CL31 licence will be reviewed.
218. The pre-commencement survey will be undertaken during the season that water vole is active (March-October), as close to the construction start date as possible. A minimum of two survey visits will be undertaken following methods set out in Water Vole Mitigation Guidance (Dean, M., *et al.*, 2016).
219. If further evidence of water vole is not recorded following the pre-commencement surveys, the species will be considered most likely absent from these locations. The ECoW will instruct the contractor, and bankside vegetation will be removed to ground level and a final search for burrows undertaken. Where no further evidence is found, construction work will then proceed.
220. If any burrows are found that have high potential or positive evidence of water voles, these will be marked with hi-visibility markers and work in this location will pause until the Registered Consultant can review the situation. If water vole is encountered or considered highly likely to be present, work will immediately cease with a c.50m buffer surrounding the new area of water vole habitat until the specific site can be registered under a CL31 licence following the method described below in Section 3.7.9.2.

3.7.9.2 Licensing

221. Where impacts to burrows cannot be avoided, works will be progressed under a CL31 licence involving the displacement of individuals to suitable adjacent habitat. A CL31 licence can/ will only be used in the (three) months March, April and September.
222. A CL31 licence shall be required for working areas where the haul road runs through a water course that has confirmed presence of water vole. Based upon current survey data and the requirement to install a haul road, a licence is considered necessary to enable this work to proceed at three, separate locations: Ditch 20004, Ditch 20273 and Ditch 1571. Details of mitigation measures specific to the CL31 licence are provided in Annex A.
223. A draft licence application has been made to Natural England in respect of water vole presence at these three locations and includes the mitigation measures set out within this document and Annex A.

3.7.10 Other Terrestrial Mammals

224. Checks for the presence of hedgehogs, hares, harvest mice or other protected or notable species will be carried out by the ECoW prior to vegetation clearance. Additional reasonable avoidance measures will be implemented/mitigation licences will be applied for as necessary. Reasonable avoidance measures that may be employed if these species are present would be in line with those provided for GCN in Section 3.7.23 and reptiles in Section 1344 and in the paragraphs for hedgehog and brown hare below.

3.7.10.1 Hedgehog

225. Towards the end of the autumn period (typically in November but dependent on temperature), any suitable habitat for hedgehogs to use for hibernating, such as tree roots, hedgerows, old mammal burrows, under timber buildings or compost heaps will be removed, where possible, thus minimising the risk of any hedgehogs hibernating within the development site (British Hedgehog Preservation Society, 2009). If an area of potentially suitable habitat could not be removed ahead of when hedgehogs would be expected to commence hibernating, then the areas of remaining habitat would be carefully inspected by the ECoW before they are removed. Any hedgehogs found would be relocated, with any nesting material, to a hedgehog box within the nearest suitable undisturbed habitat.

3.7.10.2 Brown Hare

226. Areas of suitable habitat with vegetation greater than 200mm in height would be subject to a two-stage cut of vegetation which would remove any suitable habitat for brown hare and discourage them from remaining in an area.

3.8 Reinstatement, Enhancement and Creation of Habitats following Construction

3.8.1 Habitats in General, including Ditches and Trees

227. The onshore ECC and 400kV cable corridor (Figure 3.3 (Document Reference APP-089)) will largely affect habitats of low conservation value, i.e. agricultural grassland and cropland. These will be returned to their previous state following construction.
228. Habitats being temporarily lost to haul roads and construction compounds, and therefore requiring reinstatement post construction, include agricultural grassland, cropland, and low/moderate condition ditches (ditches of high condition will be subject to trenchless techniques). Watercourse crossing points are anticipated to be 8m in width across a channel. These habitats would be reinstated following construction.
229. There are 52 trees situated within areas proposed for temporary or permanent works, with the remainder assumed to be retained. No trees will be removed for temporary access and efforts will be made to further reduce the number of trees lost through micro-siting wherever possible. Where trees are removed, they will not be replaced *in situ* for operational reasons (i.e. because access to the cables is required).

230. Compensation for the loss of trees along the route will also be provided by the proposed screening planting at the OnSS. The indicative landscape mitigation plan included in Figure 2 indicates how this may be achieved (and has been used as the basis for the Biodiversity Net Gain Project Principles and Approach Statement (Document Reference APP-302). It is important to note that the figure is illustrative at this stage, i.e. the extent and location of habitats, mitigation and compensation measures may change at the detailed design stage.
231. Opportunities for further enhancements at the OnSS will be explored. Enhancement may include the installation of a range of bird boxes and the creation of earth banks for invertebrates, refugia for reptiles, amphibians and small mammals.
232. Reinstated habitats will be subject to an aftercare period of up to five years following reinstatement, to be extended (if required) if reinstatement is not deemed to have been successful. The methods of aftercare will be agreed in the LMP and EMP and will be subject to the results of monitoring but are likely to include the management of undesirable weeds. During the aftercare period certain areas (such as adjacent to PRoW) are likely to need protection from disturbance by people, dogs and grazing animals. The precise methods for protection will be agreed as part of the LMP and EMP; they are likely to involve the use of temporary fencing and signage.
233. The success rates of hedgerow, tree and shrub planting will be increased through considered selection of plants based on an understanding of how plant communities can withstand the effects of climate change, with specific consideration given to soil health. The specification of implementation and management practices will use British Standards to ensure quality is delivered.
234. Reinstatement and aftercare would be the responsibility of the Applicant, or its appointed contractors and would only be undertaken by suitably experienced contractors.
235. Following the aftercare period, it is envisaged that ongoing management for reinstated habitats would revert to the existing management regimes and would be the responsibility of the existing landowner/ manager.

3.8.2 Habitats of Principal Importance and Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Habitats

3.8.2.1 Outline Hedgerow Management Strategy

236. A total of 89m of hedgerow will be lost across the entirety of the Order Limits. To ensure works will not adversely impact upon any notable or protected species, the removal of hedgerows will take place in line with the mitigation strategy for the protection of nesting birds, outlined in Section 3.7 above.

237. Compensation and enhancement for loss of hedgerows will be provided by re-instating native, species-rich hedgerows with trees (noting that trees will not be planted above the installed cables), as well as creating new hedgerows if/ where this is not possible. Hedges will be reinstated at their original location (or as close as possible), new hedgerows will be located to re-establish links and maintain the network. Compensation for loss of hedgerows and trees will be provided by re-instating native, species-rich hedgerows with heavy standard trees. New hedgerows will comprise a locally appropriate mixture of at least seven woody species and include heavy standard trees at a 3:1 ratio for any lost. Species selection will reflect established hedgerow species found within the local area and will be designed as mixed hedgerows to encourage biodiversity.
238. Hedgerows will be planted during the planting season (October to March), with the mixed native species planted at a density of five plants per linear metre, with plants arranged in an off-set double row in species groups of five to 11. Plants will be 0.8-1.2m in height. A 50mm bark mulch will be applied to suppress weeds during establishment and suitable rabbit guard fencing or shelter (using biodegradable or compostable materials), will be used to protect vegetation from damage.
239. Newly planted hedgerows will be monitored for a period of five years to ensure establishment. Where plants fail, these will be replaced like-for-like as soon as possible. Following hedgerow establishment (between year 5 and year 10) hedgerows should be cut on a three-year rotational basis, unless monitoring identifies excessive growth and the requirement for a more frequent regime. Plants should be encouraged to grow into tall, thick hedgerows.

3.8.2.2 Ecological Arable Field Margins

240. Opportunities for the creation and enhancement of ecological arable field margins will be developed in the detailed design, with any specifications set out in the EMP. Along the ECC, opportunities will be focussed within the existing extent of field margins, with no encroachment of productive areas of a field or field access tracks.
241. Arable field margins will be seeded with a multi-annual, flower rich grass mix. Seeding will adhere to guidelines provided by Natural England, Defra and the Rural Payments Agency on suitable seed composition, with a particular focus on the following recommended mixes:
- [AB8: Flower-rich margins and plots - GOV.UK](#) (Natural England and the Rural Payments Agency, 2024);
 - [CIPM2: Flower-rich grass margins, blocks or in-field strips - GOV.UK](#) (Defra and the Rural Payments Agency, 2024).
242. To achieve optimal results, the seeding of arable field margins will be carried out in consultation with the relevant landowner.

3.8.3 Greater Frampton Vision Area

243. Greater Frampton Vision is a Landscape Recovery project on the edge of the Wash in Lincolnshire, England. Some of the land within the Greater Frampton Vision is within the ECC and would be impacted by works. Where habitats are lost to site clearance, a basic program of like-for-like reinstatement would be applied. However, this would be on the understanding that mitigation may be realigned to accommodate RSPB's plans for the area or where those habitats have functionality for protected species, the habitat would be reinstated and improved. An example of this is the reinstatement of hedgerow habitats in this area, where RSPB's conservation strategy is to remove hedgerows in their vision area. The Project remains committed to reinstating all habitats post-project, but the location of some of these may be altered based on continued stakeholder engagement in relation to the Greater Frampton Vision.

3.9 Monitoring and Management

3.9.1 During Construction

244. As outlined in Section 3.3, the purpose of the ECoW is to provide ecological advice and monitor compliance. The ECoW shall ensure that biodiversity is protected and impacts either avoided or minimised as described in the EMP and any protected species licences.

245. The ECoW will manage the execution of the pre-commencement surveys, and the subsequent assessment of their results. The ECoW will play a critical role in ensuring that these surveys are conducted effectively and that the findings are comprehensively evaluated. Where necessary and in response to assessment of the pre-commencement surveys (outlined in Table 3.5), the ECoW will work with the principal contractor to refine the agreed mitigation practices on a case-by-case basis at the local level (for example, the exact siting of hedges fencing to protect hedgerows and trees in relation to a construction compound). The ECoW will oversee the implementation of all necessary monitoring and management practices and maintain detailed records of the practices undertaken throughout the Project.

246. The establishment of habitat creation/ landscape planting will also be monitored during the construction phase and will include checking that ground preparation works have been successfully implemented, planting stock is as specified in terms of species, size and quality and that the process of planting is undertaken to a high standard with reference to British Standards and in appropriate conditions.

247. The ECoW role would be retained on site throughout the construction period (and any subsequent reinstatement works).

248. Noise monitoring will be undertaken within the Anderby Marsh LWT Reserve during the landfall construction phase as detailed within the Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP) [current version REP2-031].

3.9.1.1 Compliance Audits

249. In order to maintain the highest standards of environmental compliance, the Project will engage a suitably qualified external organisation to conduct comprehensive compliance audits. The ECoW will play a pivotal role in facilitating this process by ensuring that all necessary information is provided to the external review group, thereby enabling effective compliance monitoring.
250. The compliance audits will be structured around KPIs that have been specifically identified for each relevant ecological feature. These KPIs will be set out in the EMP. To streamline ecological compliance monitoring, a straightforward form that will assess adherence to the terms outlined in the EMP, aligned with the agreed-upon KPIs will be developed. Furthermore, separate forms dedicated to documenting compliance with protected species licensing will be incorporated into the relevant licensing documents where necessary.
251. In addition to appointing an external organization for compliance audits, the Project will invite representatives from key stakeholders to participate in an external review group. This invitation will be extended to stakeholders including those from the Environment Agency, Natural England, Internal Drainage Boards, Lincolnshire County Council and the relevant Local Planning Authorities. This group will be instrumental in overseeing the compliance auditing process, ensuring transparency and accountability throughout the duration of the Project.

3.9.2 During Operation

252. Maintenance activities will be subject to an EnMS which will include specific measures to avoid potential impacts to protected/ notable species. The EnMS would also include measures to minimise the risk of a pollution event.
253. All habitats created as part of ecological compensation or enhancement would be subject to monitoring to ensure that aims and objectives are met, as well as any conditions related to protected species licensing requirements. The full details of the monitoring necessary would be included in the EMP.
254. In the first instance, it is anticipated that aftercare monitoring to ensure establishment of reinstated habitats and other mitigation/compensation/enhancement habitats will be undertaken in years 1-5 (to coincide with the aftercare and implementation period). This regular monitoring will enable an ongoing assessment of the success of habitat creation and will also inform ongoing management practices. Detailed schedules for monitoring and management tasks will be set out in the LMP. Further monitoring and management away from the OnSS would only be required if reinstated habitats failed to establish.

3.9.2.1 At the OnSS

255. All habitats created as part of ecological compensation or enhancement, will be subject to long term monitoring and management to ensure that aims and objectives are met. This will be for the duration of the operational period , and at a frequency to be included in the LMP and EMP. A detailed post construction monitoring and management plan will be prepared, the full details will be included in the LMP and EMP.
256. KPIs for all habitats created as part of ecological compensation or enhancement will include checks on soil health, competition from weeds and the effectiveness of weed suppression measures, as well as plant health and rates of growth. During the first five years of monitoring, checks will identify plants that are dead, dying and/ or diseased and will inform the process of replacement planting. At Year 5, thinning of planting may be considered appropriate to ensure that the healthiest specimens are given the space required to establish more strongly. Damaged, diseased and dead plants will be removed as part of the thinning process but would not be replaced as overstocking would hamper the continued development of the stronger plants emerging.

3.9.2.2 Additional Monitoring (if required)

257. If the Greater Frampton Vision is included within the Project design, further details on the monitoring and management of related habitats would be included in the LMP and EMP.
258. If habitat creation and enhancement opportunities are realised in other areas of the project, further details on the monitoring and management of those habitats would be included in the LMP and EMP.
259. In the event that offsite mitigation/ compensation, or additional measures are required for protected species, these shall be monitored against defined aims and objectives which shall be included in the EMP.

4 References

ARG UK (2017) Amphibian Disease Precautions: A Guide for UK Fieldworkers.

Bat Conservation Trust and Institution of Lighting Professionals (2023) Guidance Note 08/23: Bats and Artificial Lighting at Night.

Biggs J., Ewald N., Valentini A., Gaboriaud C., Griffiths R.A., Foster J., Wilkinson J., Arnett A., Williams P. & Dunn F. (2014). Analytical and methodological development for improved surveillance of the Great Crested Newt. Defra Project WC1067. Freshwater Habitats Trust: Oxford.

Birks, J.D.S, Bullion, S., Cresswell, W.J. & Dean, M. (2012). UK BAP Mammals: Interim Guidance for Survey Methodologies, Impact Assessment and Mitigation.

British Hedgehog Preservation Society 2009 Hibernation. From Know Your Hedgehog Series. Available at <https://www.britishhedgehogs.org.uk/leaflets/L2-Hedgehog-Hibernation.pdf> [Accessed 13th February 2024].

Butcher, B., Carey, P., Edmonds, R., Norton, L. and Treweek, J. (2020). UK Habitat Classification – Habitat Definitions V1.1 at <http://ukhab.org>

CIEEM (2019) Advice Note: On the Lifespan of Ecological Reports & Surveys. Available at: <https://cieem.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/Advice-Note.pdf> [Accessed 12th February 2024].

Chanin, P. (2003). ‘Monitoring the Otter’, in Conserving Natura 2000 Rivers, Monitoring Series.

Collins, J (ed.) (2023) Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines (4th Edition). The Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Defra (1997) The Hedgerows Regulations: A guide to the Law and Good Practice. London.

Dean, M., Strachan, R., Gow, D. and Andrews, R. (2016). ‘The Water Vole Mitigation Handbook’, The Mammal Society Mitigation Guidance Series, Fiona Mathews and Paul Chanin (eds.), (London: The Mammal Society).

Edgar, P., Foster, J. and Baker, J. (2010) Reptile Habitat Management Handbook. Amphibian and Reptile Conservation. ISBN 978-0-9566717-0-7.

Environment Alliance (2007) Pollution Prevention Guidelines Works and Maintenance in or near Water: PPG5. UK government website: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a758f5aed915d6faf2b3eed/pmho1107bnkg-e-e.pdf> [Accessed 9th February 2024].

Farm Wildlife Website (2024) Available at: <https://farmwildlife.info/how-to-do-it/farmed-area> [Accessed on 5th March 2024].

GB Non-Native Species Secretariat Website. Guidance for Field Workers. Available at <https://www.nonnativespecies.org/what-can-i-do/check-clean-dry/field-workers/> [Accessed 12th February 2024].

Harris, S., Cresswell, P. & Jefferies, D.J. (1989). Surveying Badgers. The Mammal Society.

ibTB Website. Mapping bovine TB (bTB) in England and Wales. Available at <https://www.ibtb.co.uk/> [Accessed on 12th February 2024].

JNCC (2019). Scheme to reduce disturbance to waterfowl during severe winter weather. JNCC website: <https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/severe-weather-scheme/>. [Accessed on 6th February 2024].

Land Information System Website. <https://www.landis.org.uk/> [Accessed on 12th February 2023].

Natural England (2022) Reptiles: Advice for Making Planning Decisions. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/reptiles-advice-for-making-planning-decisions> [Accessed August 2024].

Natural England (2022a) Ancient woodland, ancient trees and veteran trees: advice for making planning decisions. Available at <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/ancient-woodland-ancient-trees-and-veteran-trees-advice-for-making-planning-decisions> [Accessed September 2024].

Natural England Website. Arable Field Margins. Available at: <https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/file/6752143629352960> [Accessed 23rd February 2024].

Natural England (2024) Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind Farm. Relevant Representations of Natural England for the construction and operation of the Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind Farm located approximately 54km from the Lincolnshire Coast in the Southern North Sea. Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN010130.

Plant Health Biosecurity Steering Group (2019) Plant health management standard. Document 3.0 of the Plant Health Assurance Scheme. Available at: <https://planthealthy.org.uk/assets/downloads/Plant-Health-Management-Standard-v1.0-Published-240119.pdf> [Accessed 12th February 2024].

RSPBa Website Farming for Wildlife: Skylark Plots. Available at: <https://farmwildlife.info/how-to-do-it/farmed-area/skylark-plots/> [Accessed: March 2024]

RSPBb Website Farming for Birds: Yellow Wagtail. <https://rspb.org.uk/helping-nature/what-we-do/influence-government-and-business/farming/advice-for-farmers-helping-bird-species/yellow-wagtail-advice-for-farmers> [Accessed: February 2024]

Skinner, D. N. (1987) Woody Plant Selection Guide. Packard Publishing Ltd.

UK Government (2022) How to stop invasive non-native plants from spreading. UK Government website: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/prevent-the-spread-of-harmful-invasive-and-non-native-plants> [Accessed on 9th February 2024].

UK Government Website^a. Before you leave a forest or green space today remember to... Available at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1010468/Think_kit_think_transport_think_trees_poster_public_audience.pdf [Accessed 12th February 2024].

UK Government Website^b How biosecurity can prevent the introduction and spread of tree pests and diseases. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/prevent-the-introduction-and-spread-of-tree-pests-and-diseases#public> [Accessed 12th February 2024].

UK Government Website^c Cleanse and disinfect your farm and equipment. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/protect-cattle-against-tb-infection-in-high-and-low-incidence-areas#cleanse-and-disinfect-your-farm-and-equipment> [Accessed 12th February 2024].

Annex A – Protected Species Rationale and Further Mitigation

- A.1 Introduction
- A.2 GCN
- A.3 Bats
- A.4 Otter
- A.5 Water Vole
- A.6 Badger
- A.7 Hedgerows and Trees

A.1 Introduction

260. Following the submission of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application in April 2024, the design of the project has continued to progress, allowing a refinement of some of the mitigation set out in this OLEMS.
261. Refinements in mitigation have been driven by the Relevant Representations made by Natural England on 13th June 2024 (ADD REF). The species/ groups considered are listed below alongside their Natural England Relevant Representation reference numbers:
- a. H14 and H15 – Protected Species Licensing
 - b. H52, H53, H54, H55 and H56 – Bats
 - c. H57, H58 and H59 – Badger
 - d. H60, H61 and H62 – GCN
 - e. H64 – Otter
 - f. H65 – Water Vole
262. Other Relevant Representations for reptiles (H63) and ancient/ veteran trees (H94 and H95) are addressed in the relevant sections of the main body of this OLEMS.
263. Additional information regarding working areas within the Order Limits has now also been reviewed in relation to the extent of tree and hedgerow losses, the details of which are provided in Section A.7 of this Annex.
264. Where additional mitigation measures are not considered necessary, the rationale for this decision is set out in the relevant section below.
265. Key information confirmed by the principal project engineer regarding operational patterns, vehicle access protocols, and methodologies for culvert installation, alongside the construction processes for the Surfleet Marsh substation, has led to a strategic refinement of the required working areas in instances where ecological constraints occur (e.g. the secondary construction compound SCC-026 will now have an adjusted boundary to accommodate an exclusion zone at a minimum distance of 25m from a potential bat roost tree (Section 3 below)).
266. Our efforts to mitigate ecological impacts have been further advanced through proactive avoidance strategies, notably the implementation of established standoff distances. Additionally, we have adopted targeted measures to alleviate potential sources of disturbance, including the integration of tailored lighting schemes and physical barriers to enhance ecological protection.
267. The supplementary mitigation measures agreed upon with the project engineer are detailed in this annex and the Schedule of Mitigation (document 8.13 (version 2)) and will be systematically incorporated into the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) to ensure compliance and facilitate effective monitoring throughout the project lifecycle.

A.2 GCN

A.2.1 Introduction

268. The purpose of this annex is to explain the adopted approach to licencing for GCN, and to provide the rationale for omitting the metapopulation in ECC3 from the draft licence application which has been submitted to NE, to secure a Letter of no Impediment.

A.2.2 Summary of Baseline

269. The results of desk studies and GCN surveys undertaken in 2023 indicate that there are two GCN metapopulations, one in ECC 3 and the other in ECC6, near Decoy Wood. The locations of both metapopulations and the adjacent construction infrastructure are shown on Figure A1. Both metapopulations comprise offsite ponds, with associated ditches passing through the Order Limits. The associated ditches were themselves either dry, of limited suitability for GCN, or otherwise, returned negative eDNA results.

270. The Ecology Chapter identified potential impacts upon both metapopulations arising from construction activity affecting the ditch network and determined that a licence might be required for the ECC 3 metapopulation (dependent upon construction methods) but would very likely be required for the ECC6 metapopulation, due to the proximity of the construction footprint to the assumed breeding pond. The subsequent sections present discussions of licensable impacts on each metapopulation, the approach to licencing for the ECC6 metapopulation, and mitigation measures.

A.2.3 ECC3 – Discussion of Licensable Impacts

271. The metapopulation in ECC3 comprises pond WM_P42, located c.350m east of the Order Limits with a positive eDNA result for GCN, and a desk study record for GCN within a cluster of ponds, the nearest located c.400m west of the Order Limits. Two ditches, assessed as providing suitable habitat for GCN, and which cross the order limits east to west, run very close to the ponds on either side and could provide connective habitat and dispersal corridors for GCN. The intensively managed arable fields within the metapopulation are considered sub-optimal habitat for GCN.

272. Impacts to the ditches and associated riparian zone will be limited to the installation of the haul road, as the cables will be installed using trenchless techniques in these areas. To enable the haul road to cross the ditch, and to maintain hydraulic connectivity, an 8-10m culvert will be installed in each ditch, with the ditch reinstated post-works. The exact location of the culvert is not known at present, although it will fall within the Order Limits, the boundaries of which are set back >250m from the GCN positive ponds that lie on either side.

273. Cable installation compounds will be set up within the arable field interiors, away from the ditches and riparian zones. The soil will be stripped in these areas to allow temporary hard standing to be laid, to enable machinery to operate. These areas will be in use for a maximum of 6 months, after which the arable land will be reinstated.

274. Considering that only very short lengths of ditch will be affected by the culvert installation, that the culverts will not represent total barriers to dispersal, and that GCN are not considered likely to be present (other than occasionally) in the arable field interiors, the potential impacts of the Project on the ECC3 metapopulation are considered minimal and are not considered to trigger the need for a licence. Instead, reasonable avoidance measures (RAMS) will be prepared to further reduce the low risk of any impacts, and to guide the works in the unlikely event that individual GCN are encountered. Key tasks that will be covered within the RAMS document are set out under 8.13: Schedule of Mitigation.

A.2.4 ECC6 – Discussion of Licensable Impacts

ECC6 - Impacts

275. The pond is immediately surrounded by woodland, beyond which is actively cultivated arable habitat which is of low-suitability for GCN. It is unlikely that long-distance migrations will occur, particularly as there are no ponds within 500 m of Decoy Wood. Further, the results of eDNA analyses indicates the absence of GCN in all surveyed ditches within the works area, many of which were also dry.

276. The proposed works will not impact the breeding pond identified for the metapopulation, nor the woodland that immediately surrounds it; however, there will be effects on more distant terrestrial habitat within 500 m of the pond.

277. Vegetation clearance to facilitate the construction of the haul road and set up of the cable installation compounds could result in the mortality and injury of newts. Culverts will be installed in each ditch to allow the haul road to cross and maintain hydrological connectivity, but could act as a partial barrier to GCN dispersal along the ditches, but will not isolate any GCN pond from another. Soil will be stripped within the cable installation compounds, which will be in use for up to 6 months but then reinstated back to arable land. The compounds are located in arable field interiors where GCN are not predicted to be present other than occasionally.

278. The expected outcome is a minor negative impact on the newt population, which, while not trivial and triggers the need for a licence, remains manageable within the scope of mitigation strategies.

ECC6 – Licencing Strategy

Use of LP1

279. An exclusion and relocation exercise is not proposed. As most of the affected habitat is of low-suitability for GCN this would likely have a low chance of encountering significant numbers of GCN. Relocating low numbers of GCN would be unlikely to significantly increase annual recruitment, genetic diversity or the resilience of the local population to environmental change.

280. LP1 requires compensation above and beyond what would typically be required for a standard exclusion / translocation strategy, which, as argued previously, has comparatively limited benefits to FCS of the local population in the scenario where GCN are present at low density in the impacted habitat. Therefore, a generous provision of temporary artificial refugia will be created during the Project life, in retained habitat to enhance the available terrestrial habitat.

Use of LP4

281. Historic records, HSI and eDNA data have been relied upon to identify waterbodies supporting GCN. Population class assessment data was not considered necessary to the prediction of impacts, as where GCN presence in waterbodies was confirmed, their presence in the surrounding terrestrial habitat was assumed.

282. Further, with regard to licencing, population class information is normally used to inform the length of the relocation period, and the extent of the compensation measures. As no exclusion / relocation is proposed, and temporary compensation ratios are generous, it is considered that the additional survey data is not required for this purpose either.

A.2.5 Mitigation

ECC3 – Reasonable Avoidance Measures

283. A RAMS document will be prepared by the Lead Contractor's Ecological Clerk of Works (or equivalent) and will be informed by ecological survey data that is not more than 2 years old. It will include as a minimum the following tasks:

- Two-stage cut of vegetation;
- Finger-tip search and destructive search of any potential refugia within directly impacted areas;
- Tool-box talk for site personnel to cover the identification of GCN and an emergency discovery action plan; and
- ECoW to be present for works affecting ditches and riparian zones.

ECC6 – Measures Delivered under GCN licence

284. The GCN licence will include the following mitigation measures:

- Provision of 'over-and-above' compensation to satisfy conditions of use of LP1 comprising temporary provision of artificial refugia (log piles) within retained habitat within the Order Limits;
- Control of timing of works to avoid hibernation period;
- Two-stage cut of the existing vegetation with a gap of no more than 24 hours between the first and second cutting stages;
- Fingertip search between the first and second cut, and following the second cut;
- Following the completion of the cutting, the area will undergo a thorough hand search to identify any GCN present;

- Should any GCN be found, they will be safely relocated to Decoy Wood (the Receptor Area), which is in close proximity to the existing pond;
- Supervised soil-strip / destructive search under the supervision of the registered consultant or accredited agent to ensure that any remaining GCN are adequately protected; and
- Toolbox talk for site personnel to cover the identification of GCN and an emergency discovery action plan.

A.3 Bats

A.3.1 Introduction

285. This annex has been prepared to provide additional clarification in response to relevant representations made by Natural England in relation to bats and to outline why a licence to disturb bats is not currently considered necessary.

A.3.2 Summary of baseline

286. Habitats within the Order Limits were assessed as Moderate suitability for bats consisting principally of intensively managed arable land, albeit with some modified and neutral grassland (c.10% total land area), divided by well-established hedgerows (up to 5,965m), ditches, and dykes.

287. A small number of trees were identified within close proximity of the Order Limits but none of these have been confirmed to contain bat roosts.

288. Bat activity was considered to be low, with transect surveys only recording in the order of 1,500 bat passes over an entire year of transect surveys across the Study Area (extending beyond the Order Limits).

289. As no bat roosts or specific populations of bats were identified as important during the baseline studies, the bat assemblage (potential roosting, foraging and commuting habitat) was valued collectively at Local value.

A.3.3 Update to Hedgerow Mitigation

290. To further understand likely construction impacts and activities within the construction footprint, detailed discussions were held with the Project's Civil Engineer who was able to identify flexibility in the design and agree to retention and safeguarding measures to further reduce impacts on hedgerows and trees.

291. This discussion identified that the following hedgerows could be retained because their position was along the periphery of construction infrastructure, and suitable exclusion zones could be accommodated during construction activities:

- Hedgerow 186;
- Hedgerow 1405;
- Hedgerow 1541; and
- Hedgerow 1931

292. Impacts to Hedgerow 96 and 719 could not be avoided as these hedges run across the Order Limits in areas where construction infrastructure cannot be relocated.

A.3.4 Discussion of Licensable Disturbance Impacts to Roosts and Potential Roosts

293. In order to assess if a licence would be required in relation to disturbance of bat roosts, a 25m buffer was applied to all trees and buildings with moderate or above potential. Low potential trees or buildings were discounted on the grounds that bats would be unlikely to be present or if resurveyed using the current BCT guidelines (BCT, 2023), these would likely fall into a category not requiring further mitigation.
294. A review of the construction infrastructure interacting with the 25m buffer zones, and the local context where such ‘clashes’ occurred was undertaken to assess whether construction activity would contribute disturbance in exceedance of the likely background levels. For example, for potential roosts situated adjacent to existing roads or industrial / agricultural units, the impact associated with the short-term use of enabling access tracks by construction traffic was not considered likely to significantly exceed baseline levels or trigger a licensable impact. The majority of clashes occurred according to this scenario, with enabling access tracks within 25m of potential roosts located in areas already subject to disturbance from road or farm traffic. No additional mitigation is considered necessary for such a scenario.
295. However, for two potential tree roosts, additional controls to working methods have been agreed to ensure no licensable impacts occur in the event that bats utilise these trees in future.
296. Tree 4217 with moderate bat roosting potential is located on a historic sea bank/ flood defence and is shown, along with the construction infrastructure in Figure A2. The 25m buffer clashes with a secondary construction compound (SCC-26), which is located immediately east and an access track serving this compound located to the west of the potential tree roost. The access track follows an existing access road serving a large area of hard standing.
297. Through discussions with the Project’s Civil Engineer it was agreed that the secondary compound will have an adjusted boundary to accommodate an exclusion zone at a minimum distance of 25m from the potential roost tree. Embedded mitigation relating to control of lighting will ensure no lighting disturbance impacts occur. Traffic speeds on the access track will be reduced to 10mph to minimise disturbance from traffic noise. With these mitigation measures implemented, potential impacts have been minimised and residual disturbance is not considered likely to significantly exceed baseline levels or trigger a licensable impact.
298. Tree 4954 with high potential for bat roosting is located on a driveway leading to a pumping station and is shown, along with the construction infrastructure in Figure A2. The 25m buffer clashes with an enabling access track which uses the existing drive serving the domestic property, an access track to the south of the tree serving a secondary compound which follows an existing Sea Bank maintenance access track along the River Welland, and the secondary compound (SCC-28) which lies to the north of the tree.

299. The tree is already subject to background levels of disturbance from traffic accessing the property, human presence and maintenance activity within the pumping station curtilage and agricultural traffic along the Sea Bank maintenance access track.

300. Through discussions with the Project's Civil Engineer it was agreed that acoustic and visual screening would be provided along the boundary of the compound to the north to ensure no noise disturbance would occur. This mitigation measure is also a requirement for otters as set out in Annex A Section A.4 Otter. Embedded mitigation relating to control of lighting will ensure no lighting disturbance impacts occur. Traffic speeds on the access track will be reduced to 10mph to minimise disturbance from traffic noise. With this mitigation implemented, any residual disturbance is not considered likely to significantly exceed baseline levels or trigger a licensable impact.

A.3.5 Discussion of Licensable Disturbance Impacts to Habitats Functionally Linked to Roosts

Flightlines

301. The updated assessment of impacts upon hedgerows used as flightlines identified that only two sections of hedgerow will be removed during construction and reinstated post-works. All other hedgerows and the retained sections of Hedgerow 96 and 719 will be subject to protection measures as per Section 3.6.1.1 of the OLEMS.

302. Hedgerow 96 will be partially removed to permit the construction of a cable installation compound. Transect 4 covered part of this hedgerow, recording low levels of activity by common pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*) and an unidentified Myotis bat. No bat roosts, or high potential trees were recorded within the connected hedgerow network although on occasion, some moderate and low potential trees were recorded nearby. It is considered unlikely that Hedgerow 96 is functionally linked to a roost, however it not possible to rule this out completely.

303. Hedgerow 719 will be partially removed to permit the construction of the haul road and lies within an area that may be subject to open cut installation of cables. Transect 12 covered the hedgerow network linking to Hedgerow 719, which was not included in the transect survey as it is a dead end or spur. The transect recorded low levels of activity by common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pygmaeus*) and a noctule bat (*Nyctalus noctula*) along the linked hedgerows. No bat roosts or high potential trees were recorded nearby. The nearest tree was assessed as having low bat roost potential and is located c. 400m to the southwest. Given that the hedgerow does not lead anywhere, that very low levels of activity were recorded nearby, and the paucity of potential roosts in the vicinity, it is considered unlikely that Hedgerow 719 is functionally linked to any roost.

304. Section 3.7.6.2 of the OLEMS sets out measures for the provision of artificial flight lines where sections of hedgerow are removed. Therefore, although the hedgerow vegetation will be removed, there will be no loss of flight line functionality, as artificial flightlines will be provided at night.

305. Further, no lighting disturbance impacts are predicted as control of lighting is embedded mitigation within the Onshore Ecology Chapter. Artificial lighting during construction will be managed in line with the final CoCP to be drafted in line with the Outline CoCP (Document Reference APP-268). The Project has committed to the retention and protection of bat flight lines during construction using protective fencing (such as Heras) to protect retained hedgerows and trees (including their root structure) from damage during construction. These will further be retained and protected through sensitive lighting design, which will be outlined in the Artificial Light Emissions Construction Management Plan forming part of the final CoCP.
306. All hedgerows will be reinstated following the works, with artificial flightlines maintained until the replacement vegetation has sufficiently established. Detail is provided in Section 3.7.6.2 of the OLEMS.
307. Taking account of embedded mitigation presented in the Ecology Chapter and the Mitigation Strategy for bats presented in the OLEMS, no licensable disturbance impacts to flightlines are predicted.

Foraging habitat

308. The habitats impacted by the Project are predominantly intensively managed agricultural land with only very small areas of more botanically diverse habitat, such as arable margins, affected. Intensively managed arable land is of low value to bats and is unlikely to provide a significant foraging resource supporting any roosts in the local area. Therefore, the arable habitats are not considered likely to be functionally linked as foraging areas to any bat roosts.
309. Further, the construction of the Project will be completed in sections, progressing along the route, and as sections are completed land will be reinstated. Therefore, only part of any given roosts' core foraging area would likely be affected at any one time as reinstated land would begin to reestablish its (albeit low) ecological value.
310. Measures to safeguard flightlines from lighting or other impacts will preserve these linear habitats which also served as a foraging resource to bats using them.
311. On balance, no licensable disturbance impacts to foraging areas are predicted.

A.3.6 Discussion around Changes to Design after Bat Surveys

312. The assessment presented in document APP-076 took into account direct impacts on all habitats suitable for bats. Additionally, the above sections set out an update review of the current baseline and construction design to assess whether a licence is required in respect of disturbance to bats. Based on both these assessments, it is concluded that no direct or disturbance impacts are likely to occur. Where minor disturbance is predicted, such as temporary loss of small length of hedgerow, such impacts are capable of being mitigated for by the measures committed to in document APP-286 (OLEMS).

A.3.7 Discussion of likely Impacts on Nathusius' Pipistrelle Roost and Functionally Linked Habitat

313. Static 43, which recorded a peak in Nathusius' pipistrelle in autumn 2023, was deployed within the Order Limits, approximately 300m upstream of The Wash SSSI. Static 43 was deployed on the edge of a woodland belt on the banks of The Haven, located within The Haven LNR, in the Pilgrim Fathers Memorial Site, which contains a number of large ponds and woodland belts. Approximately 700m upstream, on the opposite side of the river, there are a further series of linear ponds / lakes.
314. The local geography / topography suggests Nathusius' pipistrelle migrating to the UK could 'landfall' via this route, as well as the abundant aquatic habitat offering suitable foraging habitat along The Haven.
315. Caves or mines which are associated with Nathusius' pipistrelle swarming behaviour are unlikely to be present in this area given the local geology and there are no buildings (which could also support swarming activity) within 25m of the Order Limits in this area.
316. Detailed bat surveys of the trees within The Haven LNR have not been undertaken and therefore it is not known if any could support important bat roosts or facilitate swarming behaviour. However, the current construction design avoids any direct impacts to riparian grassland, ponds, tree belts (and any individual trees), and hedgerows or ditches. Therefore, surveys are not considered necessary for assessing the risk of direct impacts to bat roosts or swarming sites (which may or may not be present), as no direct impacts to habitats that could be used in this way are predicted.
317. With regard to disturbance, an enabling access track serving CIC250 runs c.10m to the north of the woodland belt associated with Static 43. The route follows an existing farm access track. The existing baseline disturbance therefore includes intermittent passes by agricultural machinery, as well as visitor traffic (vehicular and pedestrian) associated with the Pilgrim Fathers Memorial Site and carpark accessed via Scalp Road. The use of the Enabling Access track would be short in duration, with construction traffic routed along the haul road once constructed. CIC250 is located c.55m to the north of the woodland belt, and as such lies beyond the 25m buffer adopted for assessing disturbance within the impact assessment.
318. Document APP - 286, Paragraph 183 contains a commitment to sensitive design of lighting in the event night-time working is required around this location.
319. No significant increase in disturbance above baseline levels is predicted for any unknown roosts / swarming sites that could be associated with the tree belt / static 43 location and no loss of important commuting / foraging habitat is predicted.
320. Therefore, in line with NE's Advice Note (July 24) on bat disturbance and licencing approaches, a licence is not considered to be required.

A.3.8 Mitigation

321. Additional mitigation measures identified in the preceding sections are summarised below.

Hedges

322. Retention and protection from direct impacts for hedgerows:

- Hedgerow 186;
- Hedgerow 1405;
- Hedgerow 1541; and
- Hedgerow 1931

Trees

- Tree 4217 - the secondary compound will have an adjusted boundary to accommodate an exclusion zone at a minimum distance of 25m and traffic speeds on the access track will be reduced to 10mph.
- Tree 4954 - acoustic and visual screening provided along the boundary of the compound to the north and traffic speeds on the access track reduced to 10mph.

323. With this mitigation in place, no licensable impacts are predicted for bats.

A.4 Otter

A.4.1 Introduction

324. This annex has been prepared to provide additional clarification in response to relevant representations made by Natural England in relation to otter and to justify why a licence to disturb otter is not currently considered necessary.

A.4.2 Summary of Baseline

325. The results of desk studies and surveys undertaken in 2023 indicate that otter are present throughout the much of the local landscape, with the highest concentration of records returned from ECC 6. Field surveys found holts at ECC 10 (Hobhole Drain) and ECC114 (River Welland/ tributaries), a couch at ECC 3 and a slide at ECC 5. Otter footprints and feeding remains were noted at ECC 14, ECC 13, ECC2, ECC5 and ECC10. The population of otter using habitats within the Order Limits was considered to be of local importance.

A.4.3 Summary of Impact Assessment

326. Potentially significant impacts were identified at two locations: Hobhole Drain (ECC10) and the River Welland and its tributaries (ECC14).

Hobhole Drain

327. The Ecology Chapter identified a potential impact to a holt which lies on Hobhole Drain, within 150m of CIC 246. If this were later identified to be a natal holt, construction activity within the compound could lead to significant disturbance, triggering an offence under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) (WCA).

River Welland and Tributaries

328. The secondary compound SCC-28 is located at the convergence of the tributary and the River Welland and is located in very close proximity (within 2m) to watercourse 1626 and watercourse 1621 which run in parallel and either directly into the River Welland, or indirectly via the Five Towns Drain. The construction haul road runs from CIC300, east following an existing farm track that runs parallel to, and approximately 15m from watercourse 1621. The construction haul road then crosses this watercourse, which will likely require the installation of a culvert, to join the metalled road that runs parallel to the sea bank and the River Welland between the Five Towns pumping station and the Risegate Eau pumping station. In the wider landscape, much of the installation of cable will be via open trench, further increasing noise and visual disturbance in the area. In the absence of mitigation, significant disturbance and isolation effects at this location could also trigger an offence.

A.4.4 Mitigation for Disturbance (and Isolation)

Hobhole Drain

329. In relation to the otter holt located on Hobhole Drain, which is situated 150 m from CIC246, acoustic and visual screening will be installed along the eastern perimeter of CIC246. This measure aims to minimise disturbance to any otters in residence and the wider otter population commuting along the Hobhole Drain.
330. The specific configuration of the screening will be determined at the detailed design phase, with final design decisions made in agreement with the ECoW to ensure compliance with all best practice guidelines.
331. Potential options for the acoustic and visual screen may include commercially available barriers such as fencing or a soil bund constructed from topsoil sourced from the compound. A hybrid approach utilising both strategies may also be considered, depending on effectiveness and site-specific requirements.
332. Additionally, similar acoustic and visual screening measures will be implemented along the temporary access track, ensuring that potential sources of disturbance with the 150m buffer zone are controlled.

River Welland and Tributaries

333. Visual and acoustic screening will also be deployed along the perimeter of SCC-28, CIC300 and CIC301. The screening will be extended along the temporary access tracks as deemed necessary to ensure effectiveness. The inclusion of visual and acoustic screening at this location also serves to reduce disturbance impacts to a potential bat roost within T4954.
334. The precise configuration and layout of the screening will be subject to evaluation at the detailed design phase and will be finalised in consultation with the ECoW. The proposed acoustic/ visual mitigation measures may include the installation of commercially available barriers, as well as the construction of a soil bund utilizing topsoil sourced from within the compound. A hybrid approach, integrating both mitigation techniques, may be employed to optimize effectiveness and ensure disturbance is minimised.

A.4.5 Mitigation

335. In summary, the mitigation measures for otter now include those outlined in Section 3.7.8 of this document (to include employment of an ECoW, pre-commencement surveys, sensitive scheduling of work, minimising noise and control of lighting, reduced traffic speeds to 10mph, and the immediate re-instatement of habitats), as well as the securement of visual and acoustic screening in two, sensitive areas of the Project. With this collective mitigation in place, disturbance levels are considered to be effectively minimised, with residual levels too low to consider it necessary to apply for a A45 licence to disturb otter.

336. In the future, should the pre-commencement surveys reveal new evidence of otter presence, or suggest that new impacts on otter would occur, an assessment of the need for further mitigation would be carried out. If the ECoW considers that an offence under the WCA would still be possible, an A45 licence would be applied for at that time.

A.5 Water Vole

A.5.1 Introduction

337. The purpose of this annex is to outline the adopted approach to licencing and to provide additional clarification in response to relevant representations made by Natural England in relation to water vole.

A.5.2 Summary of Baseline

338. The results of desk studies and surveys undertaken in 2023 indicate that water vole are present throughout the much of the local landscape, with evidence of their presence noted in the majority of segments across the Project. The population is assessed to be of local importance to nature conservation.

A.5.3 CL31 Licence

Licence Locations

339. The vast majority of impacts on water vole have been avoided through thoughtful project design, strategic placement of site infrastructure and the application of the mitigation hierarchy, which has circumvented the vast majority of potential ecological impacts. Notably, a total of up to 211 trenchless crossing points have been included in the design, facilitating the effective avoidance of a significant proportion of the ditch network.

340. Within the defined Order Limits, there are three specific locations where construction activities are considered likely to lead to offences of the WCA 1981 and therefore would be licensable. These three locations are situated more than 500m apart and are illustrated in Figure A3 and summarised in Table A.5.1. The locations of concern include Ditch 20004, Ditch 20273 and Ditch 1571 (Figure A3). At each of these three, separate locations, the presence of water vole burrows has been recorded within 5 m of the haul road. Details of the water vole burrow affected are provided in Table A.5.1, with locations provided in Figure A3.

341. Displacement will only be completed between 15th February and 15th April or 15th September to 31st October. The earlier dates are preferable as suitable weather conditions and sufficient forage is likely to be available to support the displaced water voles.

342. Displacement will follow the protocol set out in Appendix 1 of the Water Vole Mitigation Guidance (2016) and summarised below.

A.5.4 Walkover and Updated Survey

343. An initial walkover by the Registered Consultant, or Accredited Agent will be undertaken prior to any displacement works taking place. The first stage of the displacement works involves the Registered Consultant and/or Accredited Agent undertaking an updated water vole survey in the working area, and all burrows and latrines found will be marked out with pegs and high visibility hazard tape. All pegs and hazard tape will remain in place until the end of the displacement works.

Vegetation Clearance for Water Vole

344. The Registered Consultant or Accredited Agent and Assistant(s) would supervise the removal of vegetation on the banks using the following approach:

- Overnight temperature must be above 0°C and daytime temperature must be above 5°C. Work will be postponed in the event of cold temperatures or risk of high rainfall/flooding events.
- Remove bank face vegetation using suitable machinery for precise cutting of vegetation above ground, e.g. a flail mounted on hydraulic arm, a 'skid steer' with height adjustable cutting tools, or 360-excavator with reed cutting bucket. Vegetation removal will include the working area and an additional c.3m either side of the working area and back from the bank top. Taller vegetation may be cut in stages to bare ground (initially to 30cm then to bare ground).
- Cut aquatic vegetation along the bank margins, c. 50cm from the bank edge and below water level, where practical, using a reed cutting tool.
- Rake and check arisings and bank areas for previously undiscovered burrows, marking any found. Arisings may be piled outside the working area.
- Check the burrow entrances have not been blocked and mark any additional ones found. Photographs of identified burrows will be taken.
- Remove any latrines, feeding remains or other signs of active use by water voles and record levels of activity.
- Leave area and avoid any further disturbance for 5 days to allow animals to leave.

345. Machinery and excavators and cutting equipment will be specified by the contractor but must be height controllable and low ground-pressure. Grass mats (or other ground protection) may be specified on soft ground to further spread the load and reduce ground pressure. Wherever possible, the machine will be operated from the top of the bank.

A.5.5 Destructive Search

346. After the working area has been left for five days, the Registered Consultant or Accredited Agent and Assistant(s) will re-survey the area for fresh signs of water vole. If evidence of water voles is found at this stage (before the destructive search) then the above steps (in vegetation clearance) would need to be repeated (and a further 5 days to allow voles to disperse). If there are still signs that water vole is present, a destructive search by hand should be carried out and water voles allowed to escape or captured temporarily. Any captured water voles must be released into adjacent habitat on the same day.
347. If no fresh field signs are found, then the next stage of the displacement works can begin.
348. The following methodology under the supervision of the Accredited Agent and assistant will be required to be followed for successful displacement:
- Overnight temperature must be above 0°C and daytime temperature must be above 5°C.
 - The Registered Consultant, Accredited Agent and Assistant(s) will excavate burrows using hand tools to carefully check that no animals are present within the working area.
 - Any animals found, will be allowed to move of their own accord into retained habitat.
 - The bank face surface would be smoothed to remove any lumps that might provide refuge for water voles, e.g. smoothed using the back of a spade or an excavator.
 - The in-channel vegetation within 50cm of the toe of the bank should be removed; and
 - Regular monitoring and management of the work area to ensure no re-establishment of vegetation, whilst the construction commences.
349. All works (pre-commencement survey and final destructive searches) need to be completed between 15th February and 15th April or the autumn displacement window (15th September to 31st October).
350. In the unlikely the event of coming across a water vole unwilling to move of its own accord, the water vole would be caught and placed in a suitable holding container, e.g. a nest box trap provisioned with bedding and suitable food, e.g. apple. The holding container would then be placed with the door open in suitable adjacent and undisturbed habitat so the water vole can leave on its own.
351. In the unlikely event of coming across an injured water vole a specialist centre with water vole rehabilitation facilities would be contacted for advice and, if appropriate, the vole would be moved to this facility to recover.

A.5.6 Compensation Measures

352. The Project will result in the temporary loss of small sections (>10m) of watercourse to facilitate the construction of the haul road. These are temporary losses and bankside habitats will be reinstated with suitable vegetation allowed to establish. The Project will seek to enhance the areas of watercourse that will be reinstated following the construction and use of the haul road, increasing the suitability from the baseline. This would include planting emergent and marginal vegetation and include appropriate bank heights and gradients for burrowing.

A.5.7 Monitoring and Management

353. Monitoring of the licensed water vole populations will be conducted pre-development, during construction and post-development.
354. Following completion and re-instatement of the construction haul road, a single years post-construction monitoring would be completed to determine the success of the mitigation measures. This would commence once construction was complete and would comprise two visits per year in accordance with current best practice guidelines. The results of the monitoring would be presented in a stand-alone report suitable for submission to Natural England and other relevant authorities.

Table A.5.1 Direct Water Vole Impacts and Mitigation

ECC Segment	Watercourse Identification (WCID)	Number of Burrows	Other Field Signs	Habitat Suitability	Water Vole Population Estimate (Total in Watercourse)	Total Length Lost as part of the Project (metres)	Permanent or Temporary	Temporary Loss Timeframe	Reasons for Loss	Licencing Requirement
5	20004	2	1 latrine [REDACTED]	Moderate	2	<20m (both banks)	Temporary	Up to 15 months	Indicative haul road	CL31 displacement licence
6	20273	2	None	Moderate	2	<20m (both banks)	Temporary	Up to 15 months	Indicative haul road	CL31 displacement licence
12	1571	2	None	Moderate	2	<20m (both banks)	Temporary	Up to 15 months	Indicative haul road	CL31 displacement licence

A.6 Hedgerows and Trees

A.6.1 Introduction

355. The Environmental Statement [APP-076] and the OLEMS V1 [APP-284], OLEMS V2 [AS1-103] and OLEMS V3 [PD1-055] have considered the worst-case scenario using the maximum design scenario for the Project. This annex has been prepared to provide some refinement of the design parameters in relation to direct impacts anticipated on hedgerow and trees. The design parameters considered now include the following:

- The crossing point for hedgerows and tree lines to be a maximum of 60m in width; and,
- The working area of haul roads to be a maximum of 8m in width.

356. As a result, those hedgerows and trees that lie outside the parameters of these confirmed working extents will not require removal. Figures A4: Trees and Hedgerows Potential Impacts and Figure A5 Trees and Hedgerows Potential Impacts Detail illustrate those hedgerows and trees that will require removal in orange and red, with those to be retained highlighted in green.

A.6.2 Hedgerows

357. A total of 73 hedgerows exist within the Order Limits. Of these 73 hedgerows, only 19 intersect with either a haul road, or sit within a laydown area or area of open-cut trenching and will require re-instatement post-construction. The 19 hedgerows to be directly affected are illustrated in Figure A4 and A5 and listed in in Table A.6.1.

Table A.6.1: List of Hedgerow with Direct Impacts Anticipated

Hedgerow Number	Infrastructure Intersecting with the Hedgerow	Type the Figure Reference
57	Haul road	A4.3
71	Haul road	A4.4
96	Haul road	A4.4
96	Open cut trench	A4.4
99	Haul road	A4.4
107	Haul road	A4.4
157	Haul road	A4.5
168	Haul road	A4.11
201	Haul road	A4.52
248	Haul road	A4.11
719*	Open cut trench	A4.17
1036	Haul road	A4.22
1175	Haul road	A4.27
1323*	Haul road	A4.29
1380	Haul road	A4.35
1405*	Open cut trench	A4.36
1940	Haul road	A4.5
1954	Haul road	A4.23
1986	Laydown area	A4.1

*Hedgerow assessed to be of historical importance (not of ecological importance) under the Hedgerow Regulations Act.

358. The remaining 54 hedgerows will be retained and protected following mitigation measures set out within Section 3.6 of this document. This includes the three hedgerows which are ecologically Important (under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997).

A.6.3 Trees

359. There are 146 trees within the Order Limits, of which 52 are situated within areas proposed for temporary or permanent works, with the remainder assumed to be retained via project alignment in the detailed design. Working within the new design parameters, only 3 of the 52 trees within areas proposed for temporary or permanent works will require removal. Those trees to be directly affected are illustrated in Figures A4 and A5 and are listed in Table A.6.2. The remaining 49 will be protected by adopting mitigation outlined in Section 3.6.1.1 of this document.

Table A.6.2: List of Trees with Direct Impacts Anticipated

Tree Reference	Infrastructure Type Intersecting with the Hedgerow	Figure Reference
550	Haul road	A4.11
3493	Haul road	A4.36
3495	Haul road	A4.36
3808	Haul road	A4.41
Tree – not numbered*	Haul road	A4.18

*no tree number provided as tree numbers only given for those trees subjected to bat surveys.

